Ustilago species causing leaf-stripe smut revisited

Julia Kruse^{1,2}, Wolfgang Dietrich³, Horst Zimmermann⁴, Friedemann Klenke⁵, Udo Richter⁶, Heidrun Richter⁶, and Marco Thines^{1,2,4}

¹Goethe University Frankfurt am Main, Faculty of Biosciences, Institute of Ecology, Evolution and Diversity, Max-von-Laue-Str. 9, D-60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany; corresponding authors e-mail: thines@smut-fungi.net, julia.kruse@senckenberg.de ²Biodiversity and Climate Research Centre (BiK-F), Senckenberg Gesellschaft für Naturforschung, Senckenberganlage 25, D-60325 Frankfurt am Main. Germany

³Barbara-Uthmann-Ring 68, 09456 Annaberg-Buchholz, Germany

⁴Cluster for Integrative Fungal Research (IPF), Georg-Voigt-Str. 14-16, D-60325 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

5Grillenburger Str. 8 c, 09627 Naundorf, Germany

⁶Traubenweg 8, 06632 Freyburg / Unstrut, Germany

Abstract: Leaf-stripe smuts on grasses are a highly polyphyletic group within Ustilaginomycotina, occurring in three genera, Tilletia, Urocystis, and Ustilago. Currently more than 12 Ustilago species inciting stripe smuts are recognised. The majority belong to the Ustilago striiformis-complex, with about 30 different taxa described from 165 different plant species. This study aims to assess whether host distinct-lineages can be observed amongst the Ustilago leaf-stripe smuts using nine different loci on a representative set. Phylogenetic reconstructions supported the monophyly of the Ustilago striiformiscomplex that causes leaf-stripe and the polyphyly of other leaf-stripe smuts within Ustilago. Furthermore, smut specimens from the same host genus generally clustered together in well-supported clades that often had available species names for these lineages. In addition to already-named lineages, three new lineages were observed, and described as new species on the basis of host specificity and molecular differences: namely Ustilago jagei sp. nov. on Agrostis stolonifera, U. kummeri sp. nov. on Bromus inermis, and U. neocopinata sp. nov. on Dactylis glomerata.

Key words:

DNA-based taxonomy host specificity molecular species discrimination multigene phylogeny new taxa species complex Ustilaginaceae

Article info: Submitted: 13 January 2018; Accepted: 12 March 2018; Published: 20 March 2018.

INTRODUCTION

The term "stripe smut" is commonly used to refer to Ustilaginomycotina species that cause dark brown to black linear sori of varying length in the leaves of grasses (Poaceae). Black spore masses are released after the spores have matured beneath the epidermis in the mesophyll of the host leaves (Fischer 1953, Vánky 2012). The spore release process of sori is unknown, but may be facilitated either by the withering of dead epidermal cells or by enzymatic action, as in the white blister rusts (Heller & 2009). Of the smut genera that infect grasses, Ustilago is the most prevalent (Stoll et al. 2003, 2005, Vánky 2012).

The term stripe smut does not reflect phylogenetic relatedness, as at least two other genera, Tilletia and Urocystis, contain species that manifest similar symptoms. The vast majority of leaf-stripe smuts belong to Ustilago, including U. agropyri, U. bahuichivoensis, U. bethelii, U. calamagrostidis, U. calcarea, U. davisii, U. deyeuxiicola, U. echinata, U. filiformis, U. phlei, U. scrobiculata, U. serpens s. lat., U. sporoboli-indici, U. striiformis s. lat., U. trebouxii, U. trichoneurana, and U. ulei (Vánky 2012). Of these species, U. striiformis s. lat., with the type species described on Holcus lanatus, is a complex occurring on 164 species of Poaceae representing 44 different genera

(Achnatherum, Agropyron, Agrostis, Alopecurus, Ammophila, Anthoxanthum, Arctagrostis, Arrhenatherum, Avena. Beckmannia, Brachypodium, Briza, Bromus, Calamagrostis, Cleistogenes, Cynosurus, Dactylis, Danthonia, Deschampsia, Deyeuxia, Elymus, Festuca, Helictotrichon, Hierochloë, Holcus, Hordeum, Hystrix, Koeleria, Leymus, Lolium, Melica, Milium, Pennisetum, Phalaris, Phleum, Piptatherum, Poa, Polypogon, Puccinellia, Sesleria, Setaria, Sitanion, Trisetaria, and Trisetum). Based on host specificity and minor differences in spore size and surface ornamentation, approximately 30 different taxa have been described in the U. striiformis species complex on various host plants (Vánky 2012, Savchenko et al. 2014a). Ustilago serpens probably represents an overlooked species complex, occuring on five host genera: Agropyron, Brachypodium, Bromus, Elymus, and Leymus. Whether other species with large warts on their spores also belong to this complex, such as U. echinata and U. scrobiculata, is currently unclear.

Ustilago striiformis s. lat. on Alopecurus pratensis has often been the sole representative of this group in phylogenetic analyses (Stoll et al. 2005, Begerow et al. 2006, McTaggart et al. 2012a). Stoll et al. (2005) supported the recognition of U. calamagrostidis, a parasite of several species of Calamagrostis, as separate from U. striiformis.

© 2018 International Mycological Association

You may not use this work for commercial purposes

You are free to share - to copy, distribute and transmit the work, under the following conditions

Attribution: You must attribute the work in the manner specified by the author or licensor (but not in any way that suggests that they endorse you or your use of the work). Non-commercial:

No derivative works: You may not alter, transform, or build upon this work. For any reuse or distribution, you must make clear to others the license terms of this work, which can be found at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/legalcode. Any of the above conditions can be waived if you get permission from the copyright holder. Nothing in this license impairs or restricts the author's moral rights.

The morphological difference was mainly in spore size and ornamentation. Savchenko et al. (2014a) provided a more detailed analysis of the *U. striifomis* species complex using several host-fungus combinations and phylogenetic reconstructions based on the nrITS and partial LSU regions. However, while two additional species were proposed as distinct in the U. striiformis-complex, the phylogenetic resolution was too low to draw further conclusions regarding host specificity and potential species boundaries. To resolve undescribed lineages within this species complex, Savchenko et al. (2014a) suggested that several additional gene loci and host-fungus combinations should be included. However, in line with Vánky (2012), Savchenko et al. (2014a) suggested that it would be difficult to distinguish between these lineages based on morphological characters. DNAbased characteristics, such as diagnostic SNPs, along with host specificity might be a solution towards characterizing and describing previously-named and new species (Denchev et al. 2009, Piątek et al. 2013). The aim of this study was to use a multigene phylogeny to infer the phylogenetic differentiation in the leaf stripe smuts in the genus Ustilago, particularly those in the U. striiformis species complex.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Plant and fungal material

Specimens used in the study are listed in Table 1. The names of the hosts and fungi was derived from the latest version of The International Plant Names Index (www.ipni.org), Index Fungorum (www.indexfungorum.org/) and Vánky (2012), and partly following a broad generic concept for *Ustilago* (Thines 2016). A majority of the samples were collected in Germany (about 76) and most collections were not older than 20 years. Samples are deposited in Herbarium Senckenbergianum Görlitz (GLM). All host identifications were confirmed by ITS sequences.

DNA extraction and PCR

About 2–20 mg of infected plant tissue was taken from fungarium samples, placed in 2 mL plastic reaction tubes and homogenized in a mixer mill (MM2, Retsch) using a combination of three to five 1 mm and two 3 mm metal beads at 25 Hz for 5–10 min. Genomic DNA was extracted using the BioSprint 96 DNA Plant Kit (Qiagen, Hilden) loaded to a KingFisher Flex robot (Thermo Scientific, Dreieich).

The complete nrITS of all DNA extracts were amplified using PCR following the procedure of White *et al.* (1990). The primer pairs M-ITS1 (Stoll *et al.* 2003) / ITS4 (White *et al.* 1990) or M-ITS1 / smITS-R1 (Kruse *et al.* 2017a) were used as the reverse and forward primers, respectively. For DNA samples from historic specimens, including type specimens, the *Ustilaginaceae*-optimised reverse primer ITS-US3R (5'TATCAAAACCCGGCAGGGAAG3'), located at the ITS2 region, was used.

The NL1 and NL4 primer pair (O'Donnell 1993) were used to amplify the Large Subunit (LSU) of the nrDNA with an annealing temperature of 53 °C. For other loci, the following regions were amplified with their respective primer pairs and annealing temperatures in brackets: *myosin* R0.5/F3 (55 °C),

map R6/F2 (56 °C), *rpl*3 R1/F1 (53 °C), *tif*2 R3/F3 (53 °C), *ssc*1 R1/F2 (53 °C), *sdh*1 R3/F2 (53 °C), *rpl*4A R1/F4 (53 °C), and *atp*2 R4/F6 (53 °C) (Kruse *et al.* 2017b).

The plant ITS was amplified using the primer pair ITS1P and ITS4 (Ridgway et al. 2003) at 53 °C annealing temperature. The cycling reaction was performed in a thermocycler (Eppendorf Mastercycler 96 vapo protect; Eppendorf, Hamburg) with an initial denaturation at 95 °C for 4 min, 36 PCR cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 40 s, annealing between 53-56 °C (depending on the specific primer pair) for 40 s and elongation at 72 °C for 60 s, followed by a final elongation at 72 °C for 4 min. For DNA samples older than 50 years, PCR cycles were increased to 46 cycles and a larger amount of DNA (1.5 µL of extracted DNA in a reaction volume of 11 µL) was used. The resulting amplicons were sequenced at the Biodiversity and Climate Research Centre (BiK-F) laboratory using the abovementioned PCR primers. However, amplicons from M-ITS1/smITS-R1 were sequenced using the ITS4 reverse primer. The resulting sequences were deposited in GenBank (https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/genbank/, Table 1).

Alignments and phylogenetic tree reconstruction

We used 93 samples (including 62 of the *Ustilago striiformis* -complex) for the phylogenetic analysis; 93 had sequences from nrITS, *atp2* (ATP synthase subunit 2) and *ssc1* (member of the heat shock proteins), and 70 had additional sequences from *myosin* (myosin group I), *map* (methionine aminopeptidase), *rpl3* (ribosomal protein L3), *tif2* (initial translation factor of eIF4A), *sdh1* (succinate dehydrogenase ubiquinone flavoprotein), and *rpl*4A (ribosomal protein L4-A) (Table 1). About two thirds of the samples (62) belonged to the *U. striiformis* species complex. *Sporisorium inopinatum* (syn. *Langdonia inopinata*) was chosen as outgroup, according to the findings of McTaggart *et al.* (2012a).

Alignments were made on individual loci using mafft v. 7 (Katoh & Standley 2013) using the G-INS-i algorithm. Both leading and trailing gaps of the alignments were removed manually. Two different sets of concatenated alignments for the phylogenetic constructions were generated. The first multigene-alignment includes three loci (ITS, *atp*2, and *ssc*1) from 93 smut samples. The resulting total alignment was 1502 bp (ITS: 643 bp, *atp*2: 595 bp, *ssc*1: 264 bp). The second multigene-alignment included nine genes with a final alignment of 3156 bp (ITS: 643 bp, *atp*2: 595 bp, *ssc*1 264 bp, *map*: 251 bp, *myosin*: 257 bp, *rpl*4A: 415 bp, *rpl*3: 218 bp, *sdh*1: 269 bp, *tif*2: 244 bp).

The diagnostic bases for the *U. striiformis* species complex for all gene markers were determined using the above mentioned alignments. One further ITS alignment was created (443 bp), with the sequence of the type specimen of *U. bromina* (Table 1), the *U. bromina* sequences from GenBank (KF381006-8) and sequences from the same host-fungus-combination from this study, to check if all specimens were sequence-identical with the type collection of *U. bromina* on *Bromus inermis* (data not shown).

For phylogenetic tree constructions, Minimum Evolution (ME) analysis was done using Mega 6.06 (Tamura *et al.* 2013) with the Tamura-Nei substitution model and assuming

Table 1. 🤅	Smut specimens us	ed for phylogenetic	c analysis.												
				Collecti	on details						gene loci				
DNA-no.	Species	Host	Location	Date	Collector	Fungarium no.	ПS	atp2	ssc1	map	myosin	rpl4A	rpl3	sdh1	tif2
2354	Sporisorium aff. inopiatum (Langdonia)	Aristida adscensionis	Zambia	12 Apr. 2001	C., T. & K. Vánky	M-0215944	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> al. 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	КҮ 929824	KY929964	KY930127
474	Ustilago agrostidis- palustris	Agrostis cf. gigantea	Germany, Bavaria	22 Jun. 2012	J. Kruse	GLM-F105832	KY929551	KY930157	KY929994	КҮ929709	КҮ929639	KY929849	КҮ 929779	KY929919	KY930082
1374		Agrostis gigantea	Switzerland	9 Jul. 2004	V. Kummer	GLM-F107425	KY929582	KY930188	КҮ930025	KY929729	КҮ929659	КҮ929869	КҮ929799	КҮ929939	KY930102
2395		Agrostis sp.	Germany, Lower Saxony	12 Jul. 2014	J. Kruse & H. Jage	GLM-F107439	KY929596	KY930202	КҮ930039	КҮ929739	КҮ929669	КҮ929879	КҮ929809	КҮ929949	KY930112
2287	Ustilago airae- caespitosae	Deschampsia caespitosa	Polen	13 Jul. 1994	H. Scholz	B 70 0014901	KY929526	KY930132	КҮ929969	КҮ929688	KY929618	КҮ929828	КҮ929758	KY929898	KY930061
2401		Deschampsia caespitosa	Austria, Upper Austria	15 Aug. 2014	J. Kruse	GLM-F107444	KY929601	KY930207	КҮ930044	KY929744	КҮ929674	КҮ929884	КҮ929814	KY929954	KY930117
2402		Deschampsia caespitosa	Austria, Upper Austria	15 Aug. 2014	J. Kruse	GLM-F107445	KY929602	KY930208	КҮ930045	KY929745	KY929675	KY929885	КҮ929815	KY929955	KY930118
477	Ustilago alopecurivora	Alopecurus pratensis	Germany, Hesse	22 May 2010	J. Kruse	GLM-F105834	KY929553	KY930159	КҮ929996	KY929711	KY929641	KY929851	КҮ929781	KY929921	KY930084
1376		Alopecurus pratensis	Germany, Saxony-Anhalt	20 May 2013	H. Jage	GLM-F107426	KY929583	KY930189	КҮ930026	1		·	1	1	1
1822	Ustilago aff. andropogonis (Sporisorium)	Bothriochloa ischaemum	Germany, Saxony-Anhalt	25 Jul. 2004	H. Jage & H. John	GLM-F062665	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> al. 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	KY929764	KY929904	KY930067
432	Ustilago perennans	Arrhenatherum elatius	Germany, Schleswig- Holstein	21 Jun. 2007	J. Kruse	GLM-F105817	KY929536	KY930142	KY929979	KY929697	КҮ929627	KY929837	КҮ929767	KY929907	KY930070
2398	Ustilago brizae	Briza media	Austria, Tirol	21 Jul. 2014	J. Kruse	GLM-F107442	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al</i> . 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al</i> . 2017b	КҮ929812	КҮ929952	KY930115
2399		Briza media	Germany, Bavaria	19 Jul. 2014	J. Kruse	GLM-F107443	KY929600	KY930206	КҮ930043	KY929743	KY929673	КҮ929883	КҮ929813	KY929953	KY930116
498	Ustilago bromina	Bromus inermis	Germany, Saxony-Anhalt	04 Jun. 2011	J. Kruse	GLM-F105843	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al</i> . 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	КҮ929785	KY929925	KY930088
500		Bromus inermis	Germany, Thuringia	15 Jun. 2013	J. Kruse	GLM-F105844	KY929563	KY930169	КҮ930006	KY929716	KY929646	KY929856	КҮ929786	KY929926	КҮ930089
1180		Bromus inermis	Germany, Berlin	May 1983	H. Scholz	HUV No 498 (TUB)	KY929613	KY930219	КҮ930056	1		'		I	
2070		Bromus inermis	Germany, Berlin	Aug. 1892	P. Sydow	B 70 0014775	KY929525		·					1	I
2275		Bromus inermis	Germany, Brandenburg	17 Jul. 2005	H. & I. Scholz	B 70 0014755	KY929524	KY930131	КҮ929968					1	

ш	
U	
_	
2	
4	

Table 1 . ((Continued).														
				Collectic	on details						gene loci				
DNA-no.	Species	Host	Location	Date	Collector	Fungarium no.	ITS	atp2	ssc1	map	myosin	rpl4A	rp13	sdh1	tif2
2276		Bromus inermis	Germany, Thuringia	10 Sep. 1999	I. Scholz	B 70 0021843	KY929527	КҮ930133	КҮ929970					1	1
1591	Ustilago aff. bromivora	Bromus rigidus	Greece	23 Apr. 2013	C. & F. Klenke	GLM-F107429	KY929586	КҮ930192	КҮ930029	КҮ929731	KY929661	KY929871	KY929801	КҮ929941	КҮ930104
3370		Bromus sterilis	Spain, Andalusia	2 May 2015	J. Kruse	GLM-F107449	Kruse <i>et</i> al. 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	КҮ929818	КҮ929958	KY930121
442	Ustilago calamagrostidis	Calamagrostis epigejos	Germany, Lower Saxony	03 Aug. 2011	J. Kruse	GLM-F105818	KY929537	КҮ930143	КҮ 929980	1				1	
445		Calamagrostis epigejos	Germany, Baden- Württemberg	20 Jul. 2013	J. Kruse	GLM-F105819	Kruse <i>et</i> al. 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al</i> . 2017b	КҮ929768	КҮ929908	KY930071
1383		Calamagrostis epigejos	Germany, Saxony-Anhalt	29 Jun. 2013	H. Zimmermann, U. Richter	GLM-F107427	KY929584	KY930190	КҮ930027	КҮ929730	KY 929660	KY929870	KY929800	КҮ929940	КҮ930103
1912		Calamagrostis epigejos	Germany, Saxony-Anhalt	09 Aug. 1996	H. Jage	GLM-F048100	KY929530	КҮ930136	КҮ929973	КҮ929691	KY 929621	KY929831	КҮ929761	КҮ929901	КҮ930064
1182	Ustilago corcontica	Calamagrostis villosa	Germany, Saxony	22 Aug. 1987	W. Dietrich	HUV No 794 (TUB)	KY929615	КҮ930221	КҮ 930058	1				1	
1611		Calamagrostis villosa	Germany, Saxony-Anhalt	26 Jul. 2003	H. & U. Richter	GLM-F107434	KY929591	КҮ930197	КҮ930034	1				1	1
1825	Ustilago cruenta (Sporisorium)	Sorghum bicolor	Greece	11 May 2006	H-W, Otto	GLM-F078871	Kruse <i>et</i> al. 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> al. 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al</i> . 2017b	КҮ929766	КҮ929906	КҮ 930069
3375	Ustilago cynodontis	Cynodon dactylon	Spain, Andalusia	3 May 2015	J. Kruse	GLM-F107450	KY929607	KY930213	КҮ930050	КҮ929749	KY929679	КҮ929889	КҮ929819	КҮ929959	KY930122
3376		Cynodon dactylon	Spain, Andalusia	3 May 2015	J. Kruse	GLM-F107451	KY929608	KY930214	КҮ930051	КҮ929750	KY929680	КҮ929890	КҮ929820	КҮ929960	KY930123
1596	Ustilago aff. dactyloctaenii (Sporisorium)	Dactyloctenium australe	South-Africa	22 Feb. 2000	V. Kummer	GLM-F107430	KY929587	KY930193	КҮ930030	КҮ929732	KY929662	KY929872	KY929802	КҮ929942	КҮ930105
478	Ustilago denotarisii	Arrhenatherum elatius	Germany, Schleswig- Holstein	13 May 2007	J. Kruse	GLM-F105835	KY929554	KY930160	КҮ 929997	1				ı	
481		Arrhenatherum elatius	Germany, Rhineland- Palatinate	23 May 2010	J. Kruse	GLM-F105836	KY929555	КҮ930161	КҮ929998					1	1
483		Arrhenatherum elatius	Germany, Lower Saxony	31 Jul. 2011	J. Kruse	GLM-F105837	KY929556	КҮ930162	КҮ 929999	I			I	I	I
486		Arrhenatherum elatius	Germany, Thuringia	04 Jun. 2012	J. Kruse	GLM-F105838	KY929557	КҮ930163	КҮ930000	1			1	I	I
488		Arrhenatherum elatius	Germany, Bavaria	16 May 2013	J. Kruse	GLM-F105839	KY929558	КҮ930164	КҮ930001	1	I		1	I	I

Table 1. (Continued).														
				Collectiv	on details						gene loci				
DNA-no.	Species	Host	Location	Date	Collector	Fungarium no.	ITS	atp2	ssc1	map	myosin	rpl4A	rpl3	sdh1	tif2
447	Ustilago echinata	Phalaris arundinacea	Germany, Lower Saxony	01 Jul. 2010	J. Kruse	GLM-F105820	КҮ929539	КҮ930145	КҮ929982	КҮ929699	КҮ929629	КҮ929839	КҮ 929769	КҮ929909	KY930072
449		Phalaris arundinacea	Germany, Lower Saxony	29 Aug. 2011	J. Kruse	GLM-F105821	KY929540	KY930146	КҮ929983	КҮ929700	КҮ929630	КҮ929840	КҮ929770	KY929910	KY930073
1914		Phalaris arundinacea	Switzerland, St. Gallen	26 Jul. 2000	H. Jage	GLM-F048338	KY929531	KY930137	КҮ929974	КҮ929692	КҮ929622	КҮ929832	КҮ929762	KY929902	KY930065
451	Ustilago aff. filiformis	Glyceria fluitans	Germany, Lower Saxony	17 May 2007	J. Kruse	GLM-F105822	KY929541	KY930147	КҮ929984	КҮ929701	КҮ929631	КҮ929841	KY929771	KY929911	KY930074
454		Glyceria fluitans	Germany, Bavaria	24 Jun. 2012	J. Kruse	GLM-F105823	KY929542	KY930148	КҮ929985	КҮ929702	КҮ929632	КҮ929842	КҮ929772	KY929912	KY930075
455		Glyceria fluitans	Germany, Bavaria	10 May 2013	J. Kruse	GLM-F105824	Kruse <i>et</i> al. 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al</i> . 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	KY929773	KY929913	KY930076
456	Ustilago filiformis	Glyceria maxima	Germany, Lower Saxony	01 Jul. 2010	J. Kruse	GLM-F105825	KY929544	KY930150	КҮ929987	КҮ929704	КҮ929634	КҮ929844	КҮ929774	KY929914	KY930077
472	<i>Ustilago jagei</i> sp. nov.	Agrostis rupestris	Switzerland, Grisons	02 Aug. 2009	J. Kruse	GLM-F105830	KY929549	KY930155	КҮ929992						
473		Agrostis stolonifera	Germany, Bavaria	20 May 2012	J. Kruse	GLM-F105831	KY929550	KY930156	КҮ929993						1
476		Agrostis stolonifera	Germany, Hesse	22 May 2010	J. Kruse	GLM-F105833	KY929552	KY930158	КҮ929995	КҮ929710	КҮ929640	КҮ929850	КҮ929780	KY929920	KY930083
551		Agrostis sp.	Germany, Lower Saxony	11 Jun. 2010	J. Kruse	GLM-F107423	KY929580	KY930186	КҮ930023	КҮ929727	КҮ929657	КҮ929867	КҮ929797	KY929937	KY930100
2396		Agrostis stolonifera	Germany, Bavaria	20 Jul. 2014	J. Kruse	GLM-F107440	KY929597	KY930203	КҮ930040	КҮ929740	КҮ929670	КҮ929880	KY929810	KY929950	KY930113
2397		Agrostis stolonifera	Germany, Hesse	27 Jun. 2014	J. Kruse	GLM-F107441	KY929598	KY930204	КҮ930041	КҮ929741	КҮ929671	КҮ929881	KY929811	KY929951	KY930114
494		Agrostis sp.	Germany, Bavaria	04 Jul. 2013	J. Kruse	GLM-F105841	KY929560	KY930166	КҮ930003	КҮ929713	КҮ929643	КҮ929853	КҮ929783	KY929923	KY930086
1375		Agrostis stolonifera	Germany, Saxony- Anhalt	16 Sep. 2001	H. Jage	GLM-F047379	КҮ929528	КҮ930134	КҮ929971	КҮ929689	KY929619	КҮ929829	KY929759	КҮ929899	КҮ930062
1612	U <i>stilago</i> <i>kummeri</i> sp. nov.	Bromus inermis	Germany, Brandenburg	19 Jun. 2010	V. Kummer	GLM-F107435	KY929592	КҮ930198	KY930035	KY929736	KY929666	KY929876	KY929806	КҮ929946	KY930109
1948		Bromus inermis	Germany, Saxony-Anhalt	17 Jul. 2001	H. Jage, W. Lehman	GLM-F047380	KY929529	KY930135	КҮ929972	КҮ929690	КҮ929620	КҮ929830	KY929760	KY929900	КҮ930063
501	Ustilago Ioliicola	Lolium perenne	Germany, Bavaria	14 May 2013	J. Kruse	GLM-F105845	KY929564	KY930170	КҮ930007						
2288A		Festuca pratensis	Germany, Hesse	25 May 2014	J. Kruse	GLM-F107437	KY929594	КҮ930200	КҮ930037					I	I

53

ARTICLE

ш	
U	
_	
H	
2	
4	

Table 1. (Continued).														
				Collectio	on details						gene loci				
DNA-no.	Species	Host	Location	Date	Collector	Fungarium no.	ITS	atp2	ssc1	map	myosin	rpI4A	rp13	sdh1	tif2
3386		Festuca arundinacea	Germany, Hesse	02 Nov. 2014	J. Kruse	GLM-F107454	КҮ929611	КҮ930217	KY930054	КҮ 929753	КҮ929683	КҮ 929893	КҮ929823	КҮ 929963	КҮ930126
2815A	Ustilago maydis	Zea mays	Germany, Saxony-Anhalt	10 Jul. 2007	H. Jage	GLM-F107446	KY929603	КҮ930209	KY930046	КҮ 929746	KY929676	КҮ929886	КҮ929816	КҮ929956	KY930119
1404	Ustilago milii	Milium effusum	Germany, Saxony-Anhalt	02 Jun. 2002	H. Jage	GLM-F107428	KY929585	КҮ930191	KY930028						
2303		Milium effusum	Germany, Saxony	03 Jun. 2012	W. Dietrich	GLM-F107438	KY 929595	KY930201	KY930038	КҮ929738	КҮ 929668	КҮ929878	КҮ929808	КҮ 929948	KY930111
3385		Milium effusum	Germany, Hesse	11 Jun. 2015	J. Kruse	GLM-F107453	KY929610	KY930216	KY930053	КҮ929752	КҮ929682	КҮ929892	КҮ929822	КҮ929962	KY930125
503	Ustilago neocopinata sp. nov.	Dacty/is glomerata	Germany, Lower Saxony	01 Jul. 2010	J. Kruse	GLM-F105846	КҮ929565	КҮ930171	KY930008						
505		Dactylis glomerata	Germany, Bavaria	20 Jun. 2010	J. Kruse	GLM-F105847	КҮ929566	KY930172	КҮ930009						
506		Dactylis glomerata	Germany, Lower Saxony	19 May 2011	J. Kruse	GLM-F105848	Kruse <i>et</i> al. 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al</i> . 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al</i> . 2017b	КҮ929787	КҮ929927	КҮ930090
508		Dactylis glomerata	Germany, Bavaria	19 Jul. 2011	J. Kruse	GLM-F105849	КҮ929568	KY930174	KY930011	КҮ929718	КҮ929648	КҮ 929858	КҮ929788	КҮ929928	КҮ930091
510		Dactylis glomerata	Germany, Bavaria	24 May 2012	J. Kruse	GLM-F105850	КҮ929569	KY930175	KY930012	КҮ929719	КҮ929649	КҮ929859	КҮ929789	КҮ929929	КҮ930092
512		Dactylis glomerata	Germany, Bavaria	15 Jun. 2012	J. Kruse	GLM-F107413	KY929570	КҮ930176	KY930013 -						1
521		Dactylis glomerata	Germany, Thuringia	15 Jun. 2013	J. Kruse	GLM-F107414	КҮ929571	KY930177	KY930014 -						I
463	Ustilago nuda	Hordeum vulgare	Germany, Bavaria	12 May 2012	J. Kruse	GLM-F105826	Kruse <i>et</i> al. 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al</i> . 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al</i> . 2017b	КҮ929775	КҮ929915	KY930078
884	Sporisorium aff. occidentale	Andropogon gerardii	NSA	30 Jul. 1989	not known	HUV No 758 (TUB)	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al</i> . 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> al. 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al</i> . 2017b	КҮ929825	КҮ929965	KY930128
471	Ustilago salweyi	Holcus mollis	Germany, Bavaria	11 Jun. 2012	J. Kruse	GLM-F105829	КҮ929548	KY930154	КҮ 929991	КҮ929708	КҮ929638	КҮ929848	КҮ929778	КҮ929918	KY930081
489		Holcus mollis	Germany, Bavaria	16 May 2013	J. Kruse	GLM-F105840	КҮ929559	KY930165	KY930002	КҮ929712	КҮ929642	КҮ929852	КҮ929782	КҮ929922	КҮ930085
523		Holcus lanatus	Germany, Lower Saxony	24 May 2009	J. Kruse	GLM-F107415	КҮ929572	KY930178	KY930015	КҮ929720	KY929650	КҮ929860	КҮ929790	КҮ929930	КҮ930093
524		Holcus lanatus	Germany, Lower Saxony	22 May 2010	J. Kruse	GLM-F107416	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al</i> . 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al</i> . 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al</i> . 2017b	КҮ929791	КҮ 929931	KY930094
525		Holcus lanatus	Germany, Lower Saxony	27 May 2010	J. Kruse	GLM-F107417	KY929574	KY930180	KY930017	КҮ929722	KY929652	КҮ929862	КҮ929792	КҮ 929932	КҮ930095
531		Holcus lanatus	Germany, Bavaria	17 May 2012	J. Kruse	GLM-F107418	KY929575	KY930181	KY930018	·					1

Table 1. (Continued).														
				Collecti	ion details						gene loci				
DNA-no.	Species	Host	Location	Date	Collector	Fungarium no.	ITS	atp2	ssc1	map	myosin	rpl4A	rp13	sdh1	tif2
541		Holcus mollis	Germany, Saxony	03 Jun. 2011	J. Kruse	GLM-F107419	KY929576	КҮ930182	КҮ930019	КҮ929723	КҮ929653	КҮ929863	КҮ929793	КҮ929933	КҮ930096
543		Holcus mollis	Germany, Saxony-Anhalt	05 Jun. 2011	J. Kruse	GLM-F107420	KY929577	KY930183	KY930020	KY929724	KY929654	КҮ929864	КҮ929794	KY929934	KY930097
544		Holcus mollis	Germany, Saxony-Anhalt	05 Jun. 2011	J. Kruse	GLM-F107421	KY929578	KY930184	KY930021	KY929725	KY929655	КҮ929865	КҮ929795	КҮ929935	КҮ930098
545		Holcus mollis	Germany, Lower Saxony	17 Aug. 2011	J. Kruse	GLM-F107422	KY929579	KY930185	KY930022	KY929726	KY929656	КҮ929866	КҮ929796	КҮ929936	КҮ930099
497	Ustilago scaura	Helictotrichon pubescens	Germany, Rhineland- Palatinate	23 May 2010	J. Kruse	GLM-F105842	KY929561	КҮ930167	KY930004	KY929714	KY929644	KY929854	KY 929784	КҮ929924	КҮ930087
3384		Helictotrichon pubescens	Germany, Hesse	10 Jun. 2015	J. Kruse	GLM-F107452	KY929609	KY930215	KY930052	KY929751	КҮ929681	КҮ929891	КҮ929821	КҮ929961	KY930124
1359	Ustilago aff. schroeteriana (Sporisorium)	Paspalum virgatum	Costa Rica	15 Mar. 1991	T. & K. Vánky	HUV No 888 (TUB)	Kruse <i>et</i> al. 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	КҮ929826	КҮ929966	КҮ930129
1608	Ustilago scrobiculata	Calamagrostis epigejos	Germany, Brandenburg	17 Aug. 2011	V. Kummer & C. Buhr	GLM-F107431	KY929588	КҮ930194	KY930031	KY929733	КҮ929663	KY929873	КҮ929803	KY929943	KY930106
1609		Calamagrostis epigejos	Germany, Thuringia	27 May 2010	V. Kummer	GLM-F107432	КҮ929589	КҮ930195	KY930032	KY929734	КҮ929664	KY929874	КҮ929804	KY929944	KY930107
1610		Calamagrostis epigejos	Germany, Brandenburg	24 Jun. 2007	V. Kummer	GLM-F107433	Kruse <i>et</i> al. 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al</i> . 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al</i> . 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al</i> . 2017b	КҮ929805	KY929945	KY930108
467	Ustilago serpens	Elymus repens	Germany, Schleswig- Holstein	31 Jul. 2012	J. Kruse	GLM-F105827	KY 929546	КҮ930152	КҮ929989	KY929706	КҮ929636	KY929846	КҮ929776	КҮ929916	КҮ930079
469		Elymus repens	Germany, Thuringia	15 Jun. 2013	J. Kruse	GLM-F105828	KY929547	KY930153	KY929990	KY929707	KY929637	КҮ929847	КҮ929777	KY929917	KY930080
3110		Elymus repens	Germany, Brandenburg	29 Jun. 2014	V. Kummer	GLM-F107447	KY929604	KY930210	KY930047	I				I	I
1305	Ustilago aff. sorghi (Sporisorium)	Sorghum plumosum	Australia	20 Feb. 1996	A. A. Mitchell, C. & K. Vánky	HUV No 970 (TUB)	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	КҮ929827	KY 929967	КҮ930130
1951	Ustilago aff. syntherismae	Digitaria sanguinalis	Germany, Saxony-Anhalt	01 Oct . 2004	H. Jage	GLM-F064759	KY929534	KY930140	KY929977	КҮ929695	KY929625	КҮ929835	КҮ929765	KY929905	KY930068
1617		Digitaria sanguinalis	Germany, Brandenburg	11 Aug. 2001	V. Kummer	GLM-F107436	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al</i> . 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	КҮ929807	KY929947	KY930110
553	Ustilago trichophora	Echinochloa crus-galli	Germany, North Rhine- Westphalia	04 Oct . 2010	J. Kruse	GLM-F107424	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et al.</i> 2017b	Kruse <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017b	КҮ 929798	КҮ929938	КҮ930101

	(nonlininga).														
				Collecti	on details						gene loci				
DNA-no.	Species	Host	Location	Date	Collector	Fungarium no.	ITS	atp2	ssc1	map	myosin	rpl4A	rpl3	sdh1	tif2
1957		Echinochloa crus-galli	Germany, Saxony-Anhalt	01 Oct . 2003	H. Jage	GLM-F062638	КҮ929532	KY930138	КҮ929975	КҮ929693	КҮ929623	КҮ929833	КҮ929763	КҮ929903	КҮ93
3347	Ustilago aff. vanderystii (Sporisorium)	Hyparrhenia hirta	Spain, Andalusia	22 Apr. 2015	J. Kruse	GLM-F107448	КҮ929605	KY930211	КҮ930048	KY929747	KY929677	КҮ929887	KY 929817	КҮ929957	КҮ93

Type specimens are printed in bold face

0120

complete deletion at 80 % cut-off with 1000 bootstrap replicates. All other parameters were set to default values. Maximum Likelihood (ML) analysis was done using RAxML (Stamatakis 2014) with parameters set to default values and Bayesian analysis was done using MrBayes 3.2 (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck 2003) running five times with model 6 (GTR) using four incrementally heated chains for 10 million generations, sampling every 1000th tree discarding the first 30 % of the obtained trees, all other parameters were set to default on the TrEase webserver (http://www.thines-lab.senckenberg.de/trease).

To account for potentially deviating evolutionary properties, the analysis in ME was done also on a partitioned concatenated dataset. As no supported differences within the topology of the trees were observed in comparison with the un-partitioned dataset, the other analyses were carried out without partitioning.

Morphological examination

For light microscopy, fungarium specimens (GLM-F107417, GLM-F105836, GLM-F107435, GLM-F107413, GLM-F047379, GLM-F105827) were transferred to 60 % lactic acid on a slide. Morphological examination was carried out using a Zeiss Imager M2 AX10 microscope (Carl Zeiss, Göttingen). Measurements of the spores were performed at x400. The measurements are reported as maxima and minima in parentheses, and the mean plus and minus the standard deviation of a number of measurements is given in parenthesis. The means are placed in italics.

RESULTS

Phylogenetic inference

The LSU sequence data were excluded from further analysis since sequences were identical for all members of the *Ustilago striiformis* species complex (data not shown). All other loci showed SNPs within the *U. striiformis* cluster. The diagnostic bases (SNPs) with their specific positions are given in Fig. 6.

There were no supported conflicts in the topology of the trees of the single loci and the concatenated trees. Thus, the datasets were combined and used as concatenated for further analysis. The multigene tree based on nine different loci (Fig. 1) showed strong to maximum support for a monophyly of the *U. striiformis* species complex. If multiple specimens from one host species were included, these grouped together with strong to maximum support, except for the clades corresponding to *U. scaura s. lat.* (ME 64, ML 63, BA 0.99), *U. brizae* (ME 63, ML 68, BA 0.99), and *U. agrostidis-palustris* (ME 71, ML 68, BA 0.99), which received weak to strong support (Fig. 1).

A phylogenetic reconstruction (Fig. 2) with an additional 21 specimens but based on only half of the characters per specimen (ITS, *atp*2, and *ssc*1) revealed the same groups as the double-sized alignment, but expectedly with weaker statistical support. For example, the three weak to strongly supported lineages shown in Fig. 1 still grouped together, but with no or weak support (*U. brizae* – ME 64, ML -, BA 0.79; *U. scaura s. lat.* – ME -, ML -, BA 0.79; *U. agrostidis-palustris* – no support), highlighting the importance of gene selection.

In the phylogenetic reconstruction based on fewer genes (Fig. 2) additional specimens were included, which further supported the high degree of genetic differentiation in conjunction with the host species infected. Specimens from *Festuca* and *Lolium* grouped together with strong support, while the monophyly of the clade containing samples from *Alopecurus* species was unsupported to weakly supported (ME 64, ML -, BA -). Two monophyletic groups were absent from the tree with more loci (Fig. 1): one on *Calamagrostis villosa* and another on *Arrhenatherum elatius*. Both of these groups were highly supported (*Calamagrostis*: ME 76, ML 94, BA 0.99; *Arrhenatherum*: ME 92, ML 99, BA 1) in the tree based on fewer loci (Fig. 2).

In both phylogenetic trees (Figs 1–2), *U. cynodontis* was inferred as the sister species to the whole *U. striiformis* species complex. To illustrate the relationships within this species complex further, two additional phylogenetic

ARTICLI



Fig. 1. Phylogenetic tree based on Minimum Evolution analysis of nine loci (ITS, *myosin*, *map*, *rpl*3, *tif*2, *ssc*1, *sdh*1, *rpl*4A, *atp*2). Numbers on branches denote support in Minimum Evolution, Maximum Likelihood and Bayesian Analyses, in the respective order. Values below 55 % are denoted by '-'. The bar indicates the number of substitutions per site.



Fig. 2. Phylogenetic tree based on Minimum Evolution analysis of three loci (ITS, *ssc1*, *atp2*). Numbers on branches denote support in Minimum Evolution, Maximum Likelihood and Bayesian Analyses, in the respective order. Values below 55 % are denoted by '-'... The bar indicates the number of substitutions per site.

Leaf-stripe smuts revisited



Fig. 3. Phylogenetic tree based on Minimum Evolution analysis of nine loci (ITS, *myosin, map, rpl3, tif2, ssc1, sdh1, rpl4A, atp2*) detailed showing the *Ustilago striiformis*-complex with the outgroup *U. cynodontis*. Numbers on branches denote support in Minimum Evolution, Maximum Likelihood and Bayesian Analyses, in the respective order. Values below 55 % are denoted by '-'. The bar indicates the number of substitutions per site.

trees with a reduced sampling and *U. cynodontis* as outgroup are shown in Figs 3 (9 loci) and 4 (3 loci). The support values and the topology were comparable to the phylogenetic reconstructions in Figs 1–2. In both phylogenetic trees, *U. serpens* on *Elymus repens* and on *Bromus inermis* grouped together with high to maximum support. This group clustered with two further lineages with larger echinulate spores compared to the *U. striiformis* species complex, which is considered a synapomorphy of this lineage.

The resolution on the backbone was rather low, as highlighted also by the ambiguous placement of *U. maydis*, which was resolved as a sister group to the pathogens on the

majority of panicoid hosts in the tree based on 9 loci (Fig. 1) with moderate to maximum support, while being inferred as a sister to the clade containing the U. species complex as well as the U. nuda species group with lacking to maximum support in the tree based on three loci (Fig. 2).

Morphology

The degree of overlap in morphological characteristics was too high in both species complexes to provide easily accessible characteristics for species delimitation (Fig. 5). The individual measurements are included in the species descriptions below and summarized in Table 3.



Fig. 4. Phylogenetic tree based on Minimum Evolution analysis of three loci (ITS, *ssc1*, *atp2*) detailed showing the *Ustilago striiformis*-complex with the outgroup *U. cynodontis*. Numbers on branches denote support in Minimum Evolution, Maximum Likelihood and Bayesian Analyses, in the respective order. Values below 55 % are denoted by '-'.. The bar indicates the number of substitutions per site.

TAXONOMY

Based on our phylogenetic analyses, the following nomenclature and taxonomic changes are proposed for leaf stripe smuts caused by species of *Ustilago*. The positions given for the diagnostic bases refer to specific positions in the alignments as highlighted in the alignment consensus sequences in Fig. 4. Only selected synonyms are given

here. For a complete synonymy reference should be made to Vánky (2012) and references therein.

Ustilago agrostidis-palustris W. H. Davis ex Ciferri, Ann. Mycol. 29: 54 (1931).

Type: **USA**: *Wisconsin*: Madison, on cultivated 'redtop' (i.e. *Agrostis "palustris* Huds.", now *Agrostis gigantea*),

Ustilago striiformis complex

ARTICLE

8 July 1921, *W. H. & J. J. Davis* (BPI 166994 – *lectotype designated here*, MBT 380628).

Confirmed host: Agrostis gigantea.

Confirmed distribution: Germany and USA.

Notes: Ustilago agrostidis-palustris can be distinguished from other leaf stripe smuts of the *U. striiformis* species complex based on its host specific occurrence on *Agrostis gigantea s. lat.* Furthermore, it differs in one diagnostic base from all other species of the *U. striiformis*-complex included in this study – in the *sdh*1 gene there is a C instead of a T at position 138 (Table 2, Fig. 6).

Ustilago airae-caespitosae (Lindr.) Liro, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., ser. A 17 (1): 71 (1924).

Basionym: Tilletia airae-caespitosae Lindr., Acta Soc. Fauna Flora Fenn. **26**:15 (1904).

Type: **Finland**: *Nyland*: Helsingfors, Hagasund, on *Aira caespitosa* (i.e. *Deschampsia caespitosa*), 10 Aug. 1902, *J. I. Lindroth* [Vestergren, Micr. Rar. Sel. no. 806; Sydow, Ustil. no. 316] (M-0236198 – *lectotype designated here,* MBT 380628; from one of the several duplicate collections treated as "lectotype" by Lindeberg, *Symb. Bot. Upsal.* **16** (2): 135, 1959).

Confirmed host: Deschampsia caespitosa.

Confirmed distribution: Austria and Finland.

Notes: Within the *Ustilago striiformis* species complex, *U. airae-caespitosae* can be distinguished from other species based on the host-specific occurrence on *Deschampsia caespitosa*. Furthermore, it differs in six diagnostic bases from all other species within the *U. striiformis* species complex included in this study – in the *atp2* gene it has an A instead of a G at position 22 and 94, in the *map* gene there is a T instead of a C at position 227, in the *myosin* gene there is an A instead of a C at position 133, in the *rpl*3 gene a T instead of a C at position 199, and an A instead of a G at position 576 in the ITS region (Table 2, Fig. 6).

- Ustilago alopecurivora (Ule) Liro, Ann. Acad. Sci. fenn., ser. A 17 (1): 72 (1924).
- Basionym: Tilletia alopecurivora Ule, Hedwigia **25**: 113 (1886).
- Synonyms: Uredo longissima var. megalospora Riess, in Rabenhorst, Herb. Viv. Myc. no. 1897 (1854).
- Ustilago megalospora (Riess) Cif., Nuovo Giorn. Bot. Ital. **40**: 261 (1933).

Type: **Germany**: *Bavaria*: Coburg, Hofgarten, on *Alopecurus pratensis*, June 1879, *E. Ule* (B – holotype lost); *Berlin*: Charlottenburg-Nord, Kolonie Königsdamm, slope of ditch, 9 Aug. 1988, *H. Scholz* (B 70 0014985 – *neotype designated here*, MBT 380629).

Confirmed host: Alopecurus pratensis.

Confirmed distribution: Germany.

Notes: Within the *U. striiformis* species complex, *U. alopecurivora* can be distinguished from other species based on the host-specific occurrence on *Alopecurus pratensis*. Furthermore, *U. alopecurivora* differs in three diagnostic bases from all other species within the *U. striiformis* species complex included in this study – in the *atp2* gene, there is an A instead of a G at position 358, in the *map* gene there is a G instead of a T at position 192, and in the *myosin* gene there is a T instead of a C at position 83 (Table 2, Fig. 6).

Ustilago brizae (Ule) Liro, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn.*, Ser. A **17** (1): 74 (1924).

Basionym: Tilletia brizae Ule, Verh. Bot. Ver. Prov. Brandenb. **25**: 214 (1884).

Type: **Germany**: *Bavaria*: Coburg, Rögener Berg, on *Briza media*, July 1879, *E. Ule* [Rabenhorst, Fungi Eur. no. 3604] (M-0147750 – *lectotype designated here*, MBT 380630; from one of the several duplicate collections treated as "lectotype" by Lindeberg, *Symb. Bot. Upsal.* **16**(2): 135, 1959).

Confirmed hosts: Briza media.

Confirmed distribution: Austria and Germany.

Notes: Within the *U. striiformis* species complex, *U. brizae* can be distinguished from other species based on the host-specific occurrence on *Briza media*. Furthermore, *U. brizae* differs in one diagnostic base from all other species within the *U. striiformis* species complex included in this study, except *U. bromina* on *Bromus inermis*, in having a C instead of a T at position 621 in the ITS region, and differs from *U. bromina* by having an A instead of a G at position 223 in the ITS region (Table 2, Fig. 6).

Ustilago corcontica (Bubák) Liro, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn.*, Ser. A **17** (1): 383 (1924).

Basionym: Tilletia corcontica Bubák, Houby Ceské, Hemibasidii **2**: 47 (1912).

Type: **Czech Republic**: on the crest of Riesengebirge Mts, on *Calamagrostis halleriana* (i.e. *C. villosa*), 20 July 1872, *J. Gerhardt* (BPI 172761 – *lectotype designated here*, MBT 380631; one of the "isolectotypes" of Lindeberg, *Symb. Bot. Upsal.* **16**(2): 114, 1959).

Confirmed host: Calamagrostis villosa.

Confirmed distribution: Czech Republic and Germany.

Notes: Within the *U. striiformis* species complex, *U. corcontica* can be distinguished from other species based on the host-specific occurrence on *Calamagrostis villosa*. Furthermore, *U. corcontica* differs in one diagnostic bases from all other species within the *U. striiformis* species complex included in this study – in the *atp*2 gene there is an T instead of a C at position 535 (Table 2, Fig. 6).



Ustilago denotarisii A. A. Fischer v. Waldheim, *Aperçu Syst. Ustil.*: 22 (1877); as "de Notarisii".

Type: **Italy**: on *Arrhenatherum* spp. (not located but could also not be confirmed as lost; a neotype may need to be designated for this species in the future).

Confirmed hosts: Arrhenatherum species.

Confirmed distribution: Germany and Italy.

Notes: Spores globose to ovoid, standard range $(9.0-)10.5-(av. 11.2)-12.0 (-13.5) \times (8.0-) 9.0-(av. 9.7)-10.5(-12.0) µm, length/breadth ratio of 1.10- (av. 1.20) -1.38, olive-brown, and finely echinulate. Within the$ *U. striiformis*species complex,*U. denotarisii*can be distinguished from other species based on the host-specific occurrence on*Arrhenatherum*species. Furthermore,*U. denotarisii*differs in two diagnostic bases from all other species within the*U. striiformis*species complex included in this study – in the*atp*2 gene there is an A instead of a G at position 346, and in the gene*ssc*1 there is an A instead of a C at position 182 (Table 2, Fig. 6).

Ustilago echinata J. Schröt., *Abh. Schles. Ges. Vaterl. Kult., Abth. Naturwiss.*: 48: 4 (1870 ["1869"].

Type: **Poland**: *Silesia*: 'Schwarzwasserbruch', near Legnica, on *Phalaris arundinacea*, June 1869, *W. G. Schneider* [Rabenhorst, Fungi Eur. no. 1497] (FR – *lectotype designated here*, MBT 380632; one of the several duplicate collections previously treated as "lectotype" in Rabenhorst, Fungi Eur. No. 1497).

Reported hosts: Glyceria grandis, Phalaris arundinacea, and Scolochloa festucacea.

Confirmed host: Phalaris arundinacea.

Known distribution: Asia, North America, and Europe.

Notes: This species shares one sequence motif (AACCCAAC) at positions 20-27 in the ITS region with other coarsely ornamented stripe smuts (*U. serpens* clade in Fig. 1), and many SNPs which distinguish *U. echinata* from species of the *U. striiformis*-complex. Within the *U. serpens*-complex, *U. echinata* can be distinguished from other species based on its host-specific occurrence on *Phalaris arundinacea* (type host). Whether the other hosts of a similar ecotype are infected by the same species could not be clarified in the current study, but the high degree of host specificity observed in *Ustilago* renders it possible that specimens from other host genera will have to be described as new species. Furthermore, *U. echinata* differs

in eight diagnostic bases from all other species within the *U*. *serpens* species complex included in this study – in the *atp2* gene there is a G instead of an A at position 85, in the *map* gene there is an A instead of a G at position 208, in the *myosin* gene there is a C instead of an A at position 141 and a T instead of a C at position 156, in the *rp/3* gene there is a T instead of a C at position 91 and an A instead of a G at position 146, in the *sdh1* gene there is an A instead of a G and at positions 58 and 256, and in the ITS locus there is a C instead of a G and at position 19, a C instead of a T at position 38, an A instead of a gap at position 186 and 596 and a G instead of an A at positions 188 and 604 (Tab. 2, Fig. 6).

Due to the generally narrow host specificity of smut fungi, it is conceivable that *U. echinata* will be revealed to be a species group.

Ustilago jagei J. Kruse & Thines, **sp. nov.** MycoBank MB819627 (Fig. 5A–B)

Etymology: Named after mycologist Horst Jage from Kemberg (Germany), who has made significant contributions to the knowledge of phytopathogenic fungi and has enabled well-sampled phylogenetic investigations in various plant pathogens by his outstanding collections.

Diagnosis: Within the *U. striiformis* species complex, *U. jagei* can be distinguished from other species based on its host-specific occurrence on *Agrostis stolonifera s. lat.* Furthermore, *U. jagei* differs in two diagnostic bases from all other species within the *U. striiformis* species complex included in this study – in the *atp*2 gene there is an A instead of a G at position 466 and in the gene *rpl*3 there is an A instead of a G at position 92 (Table 2, Fig. 6).

Type: **Germany**: *Saxony-Anhalt*: Dessau, Kühnauer Sea, southern shore east-southeast of Großkuhnau, wayside, on Agrostis stolonifera, 16 Sept. 2001, *H. Jage* (GLM-F047379 – holotype).

Description: Sori as long narrow streaks parallel to vascular bundles, mostly in the leaves, rarely ascending into the inflorescence, initially covered by the epidermis of the plants, which soon frays. Spore mass dark brown to almost black, powdery. Infection systemic, infected plants usually sterile. Spores globose to ovoid, (9.5–) 10.0–(av. 10.9) –11.5(–13.5) x (7.5–) 8.5–(av. 9.3)–10.0(–11.5) µm, length/breadth ratio 1.04-(av. 1.24)-1.5, olive-brown, finely echinulate (Table 3, Figs 3–4).

Confirmed hosts: Agrostis rupestris and A. stolonifera.

Fig. 5. Sori and spores of Ustilago jagei (A–B), U. denotarisii (C–D), U. neocopinata (E–F), U. salweyi (G–H), U. kummeri (I–J), and U. serpens s. str. (K–L). A. Sori of U. jagei on Agrostis stolonifera (GLM-F047379); B. Teliospores seen by LM; C. Sori of U. denotarisii on Arrhenatherum elatius (GLM-F105836); D. Teliospores seen by LM; E. Sori of U. neocopinata on Dactylis glomerata (GLM-F107413); F. Teliospores seen by LM;
G. Sori of U. salweyi on Holcus lanatus (GLM-F107417); H. Teliospores seen by LM; I. Sori of U. kummeri on Bromus inermis (GLM-F107435);
J. Teliospores seen by LM; K. Sori of U. serpens s. str. on Elymus repens (GLM-F105827); and L. Teliospores seen by LM.

Щ	
Ū	
Ε	
8	
A	

Table 2. Diagnostic bases within the	e Ustilago s	triiformis	s and the	Ustilago	serpens c	omplexe	ú.											
									Gen L	-oci								
	atj	22	map		ŝ	sc1	E.	yosin	d'	0/4A	Ľ	5/3	sdi	11	tif	8	F	s
U. striiformis-complex	Pos.	Base	Pos.	Base	Pos.	Base	Pos.	Base	Pos.	Base	Pos.	Base	Pos.	Base	Pos.	Base F	os.	Base
on Agrostis gigantea	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	138	C/T	×	×		×
on Agrostis stolonifera and A. rupestris	466	A/G	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	92	A/G	×	×	×	×		×
on Alopecurus pratensis	358	A/G	192	G/T	×	×	83	T/C	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×		×
on Arrhenatherum elatius	346	A/G	×	×	182	A/C	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×		×
on Bromus inermis	191, 244	G/A	×	×	232	C/T	×	×	228, 311 292	A/G C/T	×	×	×	×	23	A/G 6	21	C/T
on Calamagrostis epigejos	91	A/G	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	. 59	T/C 、	02	T/C
on Calamagrostis villosa	535	T/C	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×		×
on Dactylis glomerata	×	×	×	×	69, 198	A/G	×	×	120	T/C	40	A/G	×	×	×	×	317	A/G
on Deschampsia caespitosa	22, 94	A/G	227	T/C	×	×	133	A/G	×	×	199	T/C	×	×	×	×	576	A/G
on Festuca spp. and Lolium spp.	×	×	×	×	210, 214, 23 [.] 243	1 A/G T/C	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×		×
on <i>Holcus</i> spp.	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	85	T/C	133	T/C	×	×	×	×	03	A/G
on Milium effusum	301	A/G	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	206	T/C
U. serpens-complex	Pos.	Base	Pos.	Base	Pos.	Base	Pos.	Base	Pos.	Base	Pos.	Base	Pos.	Base	Pos.	Base F	os.	Base
on Bromus inermis	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	60	C/T
	<	<	<	<	<	<	<	<	<	<	<	<	<	<	<	U U	329	G/A
	175	G/A	92	A/C	88, 99	C / G	20	A/G	7	C/G			13	G / A			15	C/T
on Calamagrostis epigeios	181, 429, 496	T/C	203	C/T	93	G/A	225	T/C	91	T/C	28	G/T	25	G/T	×	٠ ×	522	T / -
	352	A/G			255	G/T			187	G/T			100	A/G			597 Ane	A/T
	l			l	l	l		l	l	l					l		57	A/G
on Elymus repens	×	×	×	×	×	×	93	A/G	232	T/C	×	×	×	×	×	×	70	C/T
							141	C / A			91	T/C				· ·	6	C/A
on Dhalaric armalination	05		000		>	>	156	T/C	>	>	146	A/G	58,		,	.,	89	C/T
	2		004	č	<	<			<	<			256		<	<	86, 596	A / -
																	88, 604	G/A
Slash (/) = instead of, x = no diagno:	stic bases.																	

0	
à	
S	
5	
S	
1	
$ \land $	
0	
£	

CTTVGGGTCVAGRGGGTCBA CRGCGGGGTARATACCRAGC TCGGCRAHAR BACRGTGGGGGGGGGGGGGGCGCCAAGT GKGCRAAGGTRGGGGG GCAGGRTCAGTCAGTCAGTCAGTCAGTCAGGCGGGCACRTARACGGGCCT GBACSGARGTRATGGARCCC RAARAGAAGCACRTCCTGDC TGATRACACCRGTCTCRATC ATYTCRIGTARAGATCRTT TCTCGGAACCRGCYTGGGTG AARCGGAAAATRTTGTCRAT TCRGCRATGGTRAKACCVGT VAGRGCRACGGGCRCGGG CWCCRGGRGGGTGGTTCATC TGACCGAAVACRAGVGCKAC CTTGGAKTYRCCBTYVAGGT RCCCTCACGRGTDCGYTCAC CGACACCRGTGAARACVGAG TAACCACGTRRGCYTTRGC RACGTTGTTRATSAGYTCYT GRATRAGYACVGTCTTDCCG ACMCCRGCRCCACCR TAWCCKACRGCBGARGGRAT ACGRCCRAGMARRGCSGAGG YTCCTGCATGRSACCCATGT CRGTRGCDAGGGTRGGCTGR CCTCYTCGTCACGGAAGTAC TTCTTGGTGGTRGT**R**ATKCG

ITS 643bp

HGETYGGGTCSRGGTYHNNV MYYNNYBHYHNHBNYCYCC GAGYYYYGAYNNKTATCA AACCCGGGGGGNWYGMNNN NNNNYRGYRNNYRARANNNN W**BR**GGTTTGGTCGTGUTY KCNNCYDTHYAANNNNNN CTMATSYMTTTGRAGR**R**AGC CAYGRYDMAYGGCNNNNNN NNNNNVMYCCTCAVTAC CRAKCYRYCVDCYYYHNNN NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNBKNCGYY GAAACDATTCGCGGGCGCTC AAACMGGCATGCTCCAGA TTARATCTGCMGGGGGGGGGGG AGGTGCGTTCAAAGATTCGA TGATTCGCTTCTGCCAATTCC TGCGTTCGTCGAKGGGA RAACCAAGAGATCCGTTGCC AAAGTTVNTTTKNNNNWW TTAGACGACCGYATTACCAG YCGNNNNNNNNNNNNN RAY NNNNNNNNNNTY HHA 09E

ssc1 264bp

SACTIGCGACTICTIGGIDG GGATVGIGGTRTRTRGGTIR ATVAGRCGVGIGAAVACACC RCCVAGNGTCGRATACCSA GVGARAGVGGVGTRACRICG AGSARVARAAYRTGGTRAC CIGRCGGAVAGVACACCAC CCTGRATSGARGCRCCRATR GMVACRGCCTCRTCKGGRTT RACRCCCTTRCINRGGTCRC GCTTGAARATRYYCTTGACV GTYTCRAGVACCTTKGGCAT DCGG CCRTCVGCRGCRGTVGARAA

map 251bp

TYCGHKCCGARATCAARGCN CACMTCAARAATGTBCCAGCA RGAYACBGGCGAACCTYGCCA ACTCGGTGGMAAGGAGGGY GARATGTCBACMAAKWGAT YACCGARCTYGCYCGHTCCA TCACYYTSCTCAARAAYACB CCYATGAGGTCACBGCBCG HGARGAYCCYTACGCYGCCA AYCARBMSGTCKWYCGYCAR CTYCGGCRCGGGTCAAYGA GGARAAYGCYCTBCARAART CSATYATCATC

myosin 257bp

GATKGTDCKRATRTRVGAHG GCTGNGCNYKCATVARVTTY TCNACHARVRCRTYKGCKCT NGHYTTKATACGRTCKCVG CRGTRGGVGGVCKCTTYTTR YTRTYRGGRTYNRGDCGDTC NGGRAARAGYTTYTGVARRT TRTYBGTCATDCCYTSRACR TTGTACATGACRTCRCC ASRAGTIDKBRCTYGARICR ACVARRICVARRATRICYTT RAGVAGYGMRTCYTTRIBT CTCTTRTTCTGRTTDGGCTT

*rp*14A 415bp

GCGCAAGRTYCGYGCNGGYG TKGGYAAGMTSCGCAACCGY TCCYCTKGTBATYTACAACM AGGYGCGGGYATYGTYAAG GCBTTCCRYAAHGTBCCYGG YGTKGAGCTSTGCTGNGYYG ASCGYCTSAACMTSCTCCAG CTYGCYGGHGGACAYMT BGGYCGHTTYRTCATYTTCA GTYRYYAAGGTSTCSAACT**Y** GGCYRTYRCBCTBCTCAAGK CBVT¥AAYGC¥TWCRMSGAY CTCGACRAGGTSTTYGCYGC CAAGWMBRGYTTCAYBCTNC CYAAGGCYAAGATYGCYAAC ACBGAYGTBACSCGC SCTNKMNGARRTHCCBYTSG TYRTBKCBGAYSMBGCYGAG RRYYTSACSAARACCAAGGA CGCCAYACSCAGCGHCGYGG CBSAGTCYGCYTTYGGCCGC

*rp1*3 218bp

GHGAGCTBGAGCGATCAAR AAGTACTGCACYGTBGTBCG TSTBCTYGCYCACACACACACACAAGACBRGYCTSAAG CAGAAGAAGKCRCAYCTBAT GGAGRTBCARATYAACGGWG GYTCBRTYGYYGAYAARGTC GACTTYGCSAAGGAGCACTT YGAGAAGACBTTYGMBGTYA AGTCVRTBTTYGAGSASA CANYGGYGCYTSSATCTSBC

*sdh*1 269bp

RTCYTGVAGRGERAGUCKG CWGCBGARACCATRGCCATR CCRTCACUGTGCAVGTRTG VGCVGARGTGGCVGAGAGT ARGCACGDCCRTADCCRCCD GTGGCVAGVACRGTCTTRTG RGCRGGAADGGGTGRAYKG TRCCRTCCTCCATRTTRAGD GCRGYVACACCGACRCAYIC GCCRICYICCAIGATVARGT CRAGVGCRAARTACTCGAIR AARAAGIIKGGGFITKGFCG RAGCGACIGDCCRIAVAGSG IGTGMAGCA

*tif*2 244bp

GTRCCRACKACGACCTGRGC RCCBTCRTTGGCTTRGCCA TGTCYTCCGRACGTTRGTR CCRCCATGCARGCGTGGCA GTYRAYCTTCATGTAGCTCC CRAGGGCATTRACGACCTTY TGRATCTGCGGGCAGCTC RCGRGTRGGVGCRAGGATVA GVGCYTGRACVGCCTKGATS YYRGGGTCRATDCGCTGVAR RATGGCRATSGAGAAGGTRG CBGT TGTCGTARACACGRCCRGGV

Fig. 6. Alignment consensus sequences for the alignments used in this study with positions of diagnostic bases highlighted in bold face.

Confirmed distribution: Germany and Switzerland.

Notes: It seems possible that *U. jagei* on *Agrostis stolonifera s. lat.* represents a species complex, and further investigations with more specimens and additional gene loci are needed to clarify this situation.

Ustilago kummeri J. Kruse & Thines, **sp. nov.** MycoBank MB819628 (Fig. 5I–J)

Etymology: Named after the mycologist Volker Kummer from Potsdam (Germany), who has made significant contributions to the knowledge of phytopathogenic fungi and has enabled well-sampled phylogenetic investigations in various plant pathogens by his outstanding ability to recognise easily overlooked plant pathogens.

Diagnosis: Differs from species of the *U. striiformis* species complex in the larger spores and taller warts. Furthermore, *U. kummeri* shares one sequence motif at positions 20-27 (AACCCAAC) with other coarsely ornamented stripe smuts, and many SNPs distinguishing it from species of the *U. striiformis* species complex. Within the *U. serpens*-complex, *U. kummeri* can be distinguished from other species based on the host-specific occurrence on *Bromus inermis*. Furthermore, *U. kummeri* differs in two diagnostic bases from *U. serpens* on *Elymus repens* – in the ITS region there is an C instead of a G at position 260 and G instead of an A at position 629 (Table 2, Fig. 6).

Type: **Germany**: *Brandenburg*: Middlemark, Uetz: Hinterer Werder, southwest corner between Sacrow-Paretzer-Channel und Havel-Channel, on *Bromus inermis*, 19 June 2010, *V. Kummer* (GLM-F107435 – holotype; VK 2577/17 – isotype).

Description: Sori as long, narrow streaks parallel to vascular bundles, mostly in the leaves, rarely ascending to the inflorescence, initially covered by the epidermis of the plants, which soon frays. *Spore mass* dark brown, powdery. Infection systemic, infected plants mostly sterile. *Spores* ovoid to globose, (11.0-) 12.0- (av. 13.0) -14.0 (-15.5) × (9.0-) 10.5-(av. 11.5) -12.0 (-13.5), length/breadth ratio 1.04- (av. 1.15) -1.41, olive-brown, coarsely verrucose to echinulate (Table 3, Figs 5–6).

Confirmed host: Bromus inermis.

Confirmed distribution: Germany.

Notes: It seems likely that additional species will be discovered in the *U. serpens* clade once more stripe-smuts with coarse spore ornamentation will be scrutinised.

Ustilago Ioliicola Ciferri, Fl. Ital. Crypt., Par. I. Fungi, Fasc. 17: 345 (1938).

Type: Germany: Berlin: Berlin-Weissensee, on Lolium perenne, Sept. 1877, E. Ule [Rabenhorst, Fungi Eur. no.

2491] (FR – *lectotype designated here*, MBT 380633; from one of the several duplicate collections treated as "lectotype" by Lindeberg, *Symb. Bot. Upsal.* **16** (2): 136, 1959).

Confirmed hosts: Festuca arundinacea s. lat. and Lolium perenne.

Confirmed distribution: Germany.

Notes: Within the *U. striiformis* species complex, *U. loliicola* can be distinguished from other species based on the specific occurrence on the closely related hosts *Festuca arundinacea s. lat.* and *Lolium perenne*. Furthermore, *U. loliicola* differs in four diagnostic bases from all other species within the *U. striiformis* species complex included in this study – in the *ssc1* locus there is an A instead of a G at positions 210, 214 and 231, and a T instead of a C at position 243 (Table 2, Fig. 6).

Ustilago milii (Fuckel) Liro, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn.*, ser. A **17** (1): 78 (1924).

Basionym: Tilletia milii Fuckel, Jb. nassau. Ver. Naturk. 23-24: 40 (1870).

Type: **Germany**: *Hesse*: Rabenkopf Mt., near Oestrich, on *Milium effusum*, *L. Fuckel* [Fungi Rhenani no. 2410] (FR – *lectotype designated here,* MBT 380634, from one of the several duplicate collections treated as "lectotype" in Fuckel, Fungi Rhenani no. 2410).

Confirmed host: Milium effusum.

Confirmed distribution: Germany.

Notes: Within the *U. striiformis* species complex, *U. milii* can be distinguished from other species based on the host-specific occurrence on *Milium effusum*. Furthermore, *U. milii* differs in two diagnostic bases from all other species within the *U. striiformis* species complex included in this study – in the *atp*2 gene there is an A instead of a G at position 301, and in the ITS there is a T instead of a C at position 206 (Table 2, Fig. 6).

Ustilago neocopinata J. Kruse & Thines, **sp. nov.** MycoBank MB819630 (Fig. 5E–F)

Etymology: Highlights the unexpected finding that there are several distinct and host-specific species within the *U. striiformis* species complex.

Diagnosis: Within the *U. striiformis* species complex, *U. neocopinata* can be distinguished from other species based on the host-specific occurrence on *Dactylis glomerata*. Furthermore, *U. neocopinata* differs in five diagnostic bases from all other species within the *U. striiformis* species complex included in this study – in the *ssc*1 gene there is an A instead of a G at position 59 and 198, in the *rpl*4A gene there is an A instead of a G at position 120, in the *rpl*3 gene there is an A instead of a G at position 40, and in the ITS region there is an A instead of a G at position 617 (Table 2, Figs 5–6).

 Table 3. Measurements from 100 teliospores for four different species of the Ustilago striiformis-complex on Agrostis stolonifera, Dactylis glomerata,

 Arrhenatherum elatius, and Holcus lanatus, as well as two species of the Ustilago serpens-complex on Elymus repens and Bromus inermis.

					Ustilag	o striiforn	<i>nis-</i> comp	lex						Ustilag	go serj	pens-co	mplex	
	U. jage	i sp. nov	<i>.</i>	U. den	otarisii		U. neoc	opinata	sp. nov.	U. salv	eii		U. serp	ens		U. kun	<i>nmeri</i> sp	. nov.
	on Agr	ostis sta	olonifera	on Arr	henatheru	m elatius	on Dad	ctylis glo	omerata	on Ho	lcus lar	natus	on Ely	mus re	pens	on Br	omus in	ermis
		spores	;		spores			spores	;	:	spores		s	pores			spores	
No.	length	width	l/b	length	width	l/b	length	width	l/b	length	width	l/b	length	width	l/b	length	width	l/b
1	10.5	9.5	1.11	10	9	1.11	11	10.5	1.05	11.5	10.5	1.1	11	10.5	1.05	14	13	1.08
2	10	7.5	1.33	11.5	9	1.28	9.5	9.5	1	11.5	10	1.15	12	10	1.2	13.5	11	1.23
3	10.5	8.5	1.24	9	8	1.13	11	10	1.1	10	9.5	1.05	12.5	10	1.25	14.5	12	1.21
4	13.5	9.5	1.42	10	8	1.25	10.5	9.5	1.11	11	9.5	1.16	13	12	1.08	14	12.5	1.12
5	11	9	1.22	10.5	8.5	1.24	10.5	10	1.05	12	9.5	1.26	12.5	10.5	1.19	14	12	1.17
6	11	10	1.1	11.5	9	1.28	11	9.5	1.16	12	9	1.33	13	12.5	1.04	11.5	11.5	1
7	9.5	8	1.19	10.5	9.5	1.11	10	8.5	1.18	11	9	1.22	12.5	11.5	1.09	14	12	1.17
8	11	8	1.38	10.5	9.5	1.11	10.5	10	1.05	10.5	9	1.17	12.5	9.5	1.32	14	13.5	1.04
9	10.5	10	1.05	11.5	10	1.15	10.5	10	1.05	10	10	1	13.5	11	1.23	13	12.5	1.04
10	11.5	9	1.28	11.5	8.5	1.35	10.5	10.5	1	10.5	9.5	1.11	13	11	1.18	13.5	13.5	1
11	11.5	10	1.15	11	8	1.38	11	10	1.1	10.5	9.5	1.11	14.5	13.5	1.07	13.5	11.5	1.17
12	11.5	8	1.44	11	10	1.1	11	11	1	10.5	10	1.05	14.5	12	1.21	12.5	11	1.14
13	12	8	1.5	10.5	9	1 17	12	10.5	1 14	12.5	9	1 39	15.5	11	1 4 1	13.5	12.5	1.08
14	12	10.5	1 14	12	9	1.33	10	10	1	10	8	1 25	13	12.5	1 04	12	12	1
15	10	8.5	1 18	10.5	9	1 17	10.5	10.5	1	11	10	1 1	12.5	12	1 04	13.5	12.5	1.08
16	12	11.5	1.10	10.5	9.5	1 11	10.5	9.5	1 11	10.5	9.5	1 11	13	12.5	1.04	12	11.5	1.04
17	11	8	1.38	12	9	1 33	10.0	9	1 11	10	9.0	1 11	12	11.5	1.01	13.5	13	1.01
18	11	95	1.00	12 5	10.5	1 19	10	9.5	1.05	11 5	9.5	1 21	13	10.5	1 24	14.5	13	1 12
19	11	9.0 9	1.10	10	9	1.10	10 5	9.5	1 11	10	9.0 9	1 11	13	11.5	1.13	13	11 5	1 13
20	12	95	1.26	12.5	11	1 14	11	10.5	1.05	10 5	9.5	1 11	13	12	1.10	13.5	13	1.10
21	11	9.5	1.20	12.5	11 5	1.14	10.5	10.0	1.00	10.5	0.0 Q	1 17	12.5	11	1 14	14.5	12	1.04
22	13	9.5	1.10	13.5	12	1.00	11.5	10.5	1.00	10.0	85	1 18	12.0	11 5	1.04	13.5	12.5	1.08
22	12.5	10	1.07	13.5	10	1.15	11.5	11	1.1	11 5	0.0	1.10	12	11.5	1 1 3	13	12.5	1.00
24	11.5	10	1.25	11.5	10.5	1.00	10	9.5	1.05	10	9.5	1.20	13.5	12	1.13	12.5	12.0	1.04
25	10.5	9.5	1.10	11.5	0.5	1.1	11	10.5	1.05	11	0.5	1.00	12	10.5	1.10	15	12 5	1 11
25	10.5	10	1.24	12.5	11	1.21	11	10.5	1.05	9.5	0.0	1.10	12	10.5	1.24	13	11.5	1 13
20	10.5	0	1.00	12.5	11 5	1.14	11	10.5	1.00	9.0	9	1.00	12 5	10.0	1.14	12.5	12.5	1.15
21	10.5	9	1.22	12.5	10.5	1.09	10.5	0	1.1	10.5	9	1.17	12.5	12	1.04	12.5	11.5	1 17
20	10.5	0.5	1 16	11	10.5	1.00	10.5	11	1.17	10	9.5	1.00	14	12 5	1.00	12.5	11.5	1.17
29	10.5	9.5	1.10	11	0.5	1 16	10	10	1	10	9	1.11	14	12.0	1.12	12.0	12.5	1.17
24	10.5	0	1.4	11	9.5	1.10	10	0.5	1 05	10	9	1.11	12	11 5	1.09	12	12.0	1.04
22	10.5	9	1.17	11 5	9	1.22	10	9.5	1.05	11	9	1.11	14.5	12.5	1.09	14	12	1.10
22	10 5	0.5	1.10	11.0	9.5	1.1	10 5	9.5	1.00	10	0	1.1	14.0	12.0	1.10	14	12	1.00
24	10.5	9.5	1.11	11 5	0.5	1.2.9	10.5	10.5	1	11	9 5	1.11	14	12.5	1.10	14	12	1.00
25	11.5	10	1.11	12.5	9	1.20	10.5	10.5	1 1	11	10	1.2.5	19	12.5	1.12	12.5	10	1.00
36	12	0	1.13	10.5	9.5	1.52	10.5	9.5	1.1	10	0	1.1	14.5	11.5	1.04	12.5	12	1 1 2
37	12	9	1.55	12.5	10.5	1.24	10.5	10.5	1.11	10	85	1.11	19.5	11.5	1.20	15	13.5	1.10
38	10.5	9.5	1.10	11	9.5	1.16	10.5	10.5	1.05	10.5	9.5	1.10	14	12	1.05	14	13	1.08
20	0.5	9	1.17	10.5	9.5 10	1.10	10.0	10	1.05	10.5	10.5	1.11	12	12	1.17	14	12.5	1.00
40	10	9 5	1.00	12.5	10.5	1.00	10.5	0.5	1.1	10.5	0.5	1 11	11 5	11	1.05	14	12	1.04
40	10 5	0.5	1.10	12.0	0.5	1.19	10.5	9.5	1.11	10	9	1.11	12.5	10.5	1.00	14.5	12.5	1 16
41	11.5	9.5	1.11	11 5	9.0	1.10	10	9.5	1.05	10 5	9	1.11	12.5	0.5	1.29	14.0	12.5	1.10
42	11.0	10.5	1.1	10	10	1.05	10 5	0.5	1 11	10.5	0.5	1.05	12.5	9.0	1.02	12 5	11 5	1.00
40	10	0.0	1.05	11	0.5	1 16	10.5	9.0	1.11	10.5	9.0	1.11	13.5	10	1.47	10.0	C.11	1.17
44 AE	10 5	9	1.11	11 5	9.0	1.10	10.5	0.5	1.05	10	10	1.0	14	10 5	1.17	14	11.5	1.22
40	10.5	0.0 0	1.24	11.5	11 5	1.15	10.5	9.0	1.11	10 5	10	1.2	13.5	10.5	1.47	12 5	10	1.13
40	10.5	10.5	1.31	11.0	10.5	1.05	10.5	9.0	1.11	10.5	0.5	1.05	14	14 5	1.17	13.5	14 5	1.13
47	12.5	10.5	1.19	10.5	10.5	1.05	9.0	ö.5	1.12	9.5	9.5	1 45	12	11.5	1.04	12.5	11.5	1.09
48	11	9.5	1.10	10.5	10	1.05	10 5	10	1 14	11.5	10	1.15	13.5	12	1.13	13.5	11.5	1.17
49	10	9.5	1.16	11.5	9.5	1.21	10.5	9.5	1.11	10.5	10	1.05	13	11.5	1.13	13	12	1.08
50	10	9.5	1.05	11.5	9.5	1.21	11	10.5	1.05	10.5	8	1.31	13.5	12	1.13	13	12.5	1.04
51	10	9.5	1.05	10	8.5	1.18	10	9	1.11	11	9	1.22	14	11.5	1.22	12.5	10.5	1.19
52	10.5	8.5	1.24	10.5	8	1.31	10	11	0.91	10.5	10.5	1	13.5	10.5	1.29	14.5	12	1.21

ш
U
_
F
2
4

Tabl	e 3. (Con	tinued).																		
	Ustilago striiformis-complex														Ustilago serpens-complex					
	U. jagel	U. jagei sp. nov.			U. denotarisii			U. neocopinata sp. nov.			U. salweyi			U. serpens			<i>U. kummeri</i> sp. nov.			
	on Agrostis stolonifera			on Arrhenatherum elatius			on Dactylis glomerata			on Holcus lanatus			on Elymus repens			on Bromus inermis				
		spores			spores		spores			spores			spores		spores					
No.	length	width	l/b	length	width	l/b	length	width	l/b	length	width	l/b	length	width	l/b	length	width	l/b		
53	12	9.5	1.26	10	8.5	1.18	9	9	1	11.5	10	1.15	14	11.5	1.22	14	12	1.17		
54	10.5	10.5	1	11	8.5	1.29	10	9.5	1.05	10.5	9	1.17	13	12	1.08	13.5	12	1.13		
55	10.5	10.5	1	12	9.5	1.26	10	9.5	1.05	10	10	1	12	11	1.09	14	12	1.17		
56	11	10	1.1	9.5	9	1.06	9.5	9.5	1	10.5	10.5	1	12	12	1	13	13	1		
57	10.5	9	1.17	10	8.5	1.18	11.5	10.5	1.1	11	9.5	1.16	13	10.5	1.24	14	13	1.08		
58	10	10	1	11.5	9.5	1.21	10	9	1.11	10.5	10	1.05	14.5	10.5	1.38	13	12.5	1.04		
59	11	10	1.1	11	10	1.1	10	7.5	1.33	10.5	9.5	1.11	13	11.5	1.13	13.5	12	1.13		
60	10.5	10.5	1	12	9.5	1.26	10	10	1	10	9.5	1.05	13	12	1.08	14.5	12	1.21		
61	10.5	8.5	1.24	11	10	1.1	10.5	10	1.05	10.5	9.5	1.11	13.5	10	1.35	14.5	13	1.12		
62	11.5	9	1.28	10.5	10	1.05	11	9	1.22	10.5	10	1.05	13	11.5	1.13	14.5	13	1.12		
63	10.5	8.5	1.24	10.5	9.5	1.11	10.5	9.5	1.11	9.5	9.5	1	12.5	12	1.04	13.5	12	1.13		
64	10.5	9.5	1.11	10.5	10	1.05	11	10.5	1.05	10	9.5	1.05	12.5	12	1.04	14	12.5	1.12		
65	10	10	1	10	8.5	1.18	10.5	10.5	1	11	9	1.22	14.5	10.5	1.38	13	12.5	1.04		
66	10.5	8.5	1.24	11.5	11	1.05	11	11	1	10.5	8.5	1.24	11.5	10.5	1.1	13	12.5	1.04		
67	11	10.5	1.05	11	9.5	1.16	11.5	10	1.15	11	9.5	1.16	15	12	1.25	13.5	12.5	1.08		
68	10.5	8.5	1.24	11	9.5	1.16	11	11	1	10.5	9.5	1.11	12.5	11	1.14	14	13	1.08		
69	10	10	1	11.5	10.5	1.1	11.5	11	1.05	10	9	1.11	14	11	1.27	14.5	14	1.04		
70	10	10	1	11.5	11	1.05	10	9.5	1.05	11	10	1.1	12	11	1.09	13.5	12.5	1.08		
71	11	9	1.22	11	10	1.1	9	9	1	10.5	9	1.17	13	10.5	1.24	13	12.5	1.04		
72	10	10	1	10.5	10	1.05	10	9.5	1.05	11	10.5	1.05	13	12	1.08	13.5	12.5	1.08		
73	10	10	1	13	10	1.3	11	10	1.1	9.5	9	1.06	11.5	11.5	1	13.5	13	1.04		
74	10.5	8	1.31	11	9	1.22	10.5	9.5	1.11	11	9.5	1.16	14	11	1.27	13.5	13	1.04		
75	10	9.5	1.05	11.5	10	1.15	10.5	9.5	1.11	10.5	10.5	1	12	10.5	1.14	15.5	13.5	1.15		
76	11.5	9	1.28	12	10.5	1.14	11	9.5	1.16	10.5	10	1.05	11.5	9	1.28	13	12.5	1.04		
77	11	10	1.1	10.5	10	1.05	9	9	1	11.5	9.5	1.21	12.5	11	1.14	14	12.5	1.12		
78	11.5	9.5	1.21	10.5	10	1.05	9.5	9.5	1	9.5	8.5	1.12	11	10.5	1.05	14.5	12	1.21		
79	11	9	1.22	11.5	8.5	1.35	11	10	1.1	10	9	1.11	13	11	1.18	13.5	12	1.13		
80	11.5	9.5	1.21	10.5	9.5	1.11	10	8.5	1.18	11	8.5	1.29	11.5	11	1.05	13.5	12.5	1.08		
81	9.5	9.5	1	11	9.5	1.16	10.5	10	1.05	11.5	9	1.28	11.5	11	1.05	13.5	10.5	1.29		
82	11	9.5	1.16	10.5	10	1.05	11	9.5	1.16	10.5	10	1.05	12.5	11	1.14	13	13	1		
83	10.5	10.5	1	11.5	9	1.28	11.5	9.5	1.21	11	9.5	1.16	12.5	12	1.04	14.5	13	1.12		
84	11.5	10	1.15	11	9	1.22	10	9.5	1.05	10	9.5	1.05	12	9.5	1.26	13.5	12.5	1.08		
85	11	10	1.1	10	10	1	11	9.5	1.16	10	8	1.25	13.5	11	1.23	14	13	1.08		
86	11	9	1.22	10.5	9.5	1.11	11.5	11	1.05	9.5	7.5	1.27	14	9.5	1.47	14	12	1.17		
87	11	10.5	1.05	10.5	9	1.17	11.5	10.5	1.1	11	8.5	1.29	12.5	12	1.04	15	13.5	1.11		
88	11	9	1.22	11	9.5	1.16	10	9	1.11	10	9	1.11	12	12	1	12.5	12.5	1		
89	10	7.5	1.33	11.5	8.5	1.35	9.5	9	1.06	10	9.5	1.05	15	12.5	1.2	13.5	11.5	1.17		
90	11	9.5	1.16	10	9.5	1.05	11	9.5	1.16	11	10	1.1	14.5	12	1.21	12	12	1		
91	10.5	9	1.17	13.5	11	1.23	11	9.5	1.16	11	10.5	1.05	12	11.5	1.04	13.5	13	1.04		
92	10.5	9	1.17	13.5	10.5	1.29	9.5	9.5	1	11.5	10	1.15	14	11	1.27	13	12	1.08		
93	10	8.5	1.18	13	10.5	1.24	9.5	7.5	1.27	11.5	9.5	1.21	13.5	11	1.23	14	12.5	1.12		
94	11	9.5	1.16	11.5	10.5	1.1	11	11	1	10.5	9.5	1.11	13	11	1.18	13.5	11.5	1.17		
95	10.5	9	1.17	11	11	1	11	10	1.1	10.5	10	1.05	14.5	12	1.21	14.5	12	1.21		
96	10.5	7.5	1.4	12	10.5	1.14	10.5	8.5	1.24	10.5	9.5	1.11	12.5	10.5	1.19	14.5	13	1.12		
97	11.5	9.5	1.21	11	11	1	10.5	10	1.05	10.5	9.5	1.11	12.5	11.5	1.09	13	11	1.18		
98	11.5	9.5	1.21	11	9	1.22	13	11	1.18	11	10	1.1	11.5	11	1.05	13.5	11.5	1.17		
99	13.5	11.5	1.17	10.5	10	1.05	10	9	1.11	10.5	9.5	1.11	12.5	11.5	1.09	14.5	13.5	1.07		
100	10.5	9.5	1.11	11	10	1.1	10	10	1	11	9.5	1.16	13.5	12.5	1.08	13.5	11.5	1.17		

Type: **Germany**: *Bavaria*: Upper Franconia, Kronach county, Wallenfels, in the direction of the sewage treatment plant downstream of Stumpfenschneidmühle, on *Dactylis glomerata*, 15 July 2012, *J. Kruse* (GLM-F107413 – holotype).

Description: Sori as long small streaks parallel to vascular bundles, mostly in the leaves, very rarely ascending to the inflorescence, initially covered by the epidermis of the plants, which soon frays. Spore mass dark brown to almost black, powdery. Infection systemic, infected plants mostly sterile. Spores mostly globose, rarely ovoid, (9.0–) 10.0– (av. 10.5)–11.0 (–13.0) × (7.5–) 9.0– (av. 9.8) –10.5 (–11) µm, length/breadth ratio 1.00- (av. 1.07) -1.18, olive-brown, finely echinulate (Table 3, Figs 5–6).

Notes: As the host is widespread throughout the Holarctic region, it is conceivable that the species will prove to have a much wider distribution range than currently known.

Ustilago salweyi Berk. & Broome, *Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist.* 5: 463 (1850). (Fig. 5G–H)

Type: **UK**: *Channel Islands:* Guernsey, St Martin's, on *Holcus lanatus* [originally misidentified as *Dactylis glomerata fide* Hubbard, *in* Stevenson, *Plant Dis. Rep.* **30**: 57, 1946], 1847, *T. Salwey* (K-M – holotype; K-M00022071 – isotype).

- Synonyms: Uredo striiformis Westend., Bull. Acad. R. Sci. Belg., cl. sci. **18**: 406 (1852); as "striaeformis".
- Uredo salveii (Berk. & Broome) Oudem., Prodromus Florae Bataviae, 2nd edn,**4**: 180 (1866).
- *Tilletia debaryana* A.A. Fisch. Waldh., *in* Rabenhorst, *Fungi eur.* no. 1097 (1867).

Tilletia striiformis (Westend.) Magnus, Malpighia 1: 8 (1875).

Ustilago striiformis (Westend.) Niessl, Hedwigia 15: 1 (1876).

Tilletia salveii (Berk. & Broome) P. Karst., *Bidrag. Kännedom. Finlands Naurt. Folk.* **6**: 102 (1884).

Confirmed hosts: Holcus lanatus and H. mollis.

Confirmed distribution: Belgium, Germany, and UK.

Notes: Spores globose to ovoid, standard range $(9.5-)10.0-(av. 10.6)-11.0(-12.5) \times (7.5-)9.0-(av. 9.4)-10.0(-10.5) \mum, finely echinulate, length/breadth ratio <math>1.00-(av. 1.15)-1.39$. Within the *U. striiformis* species complex, *U. salweyi* can be distinguished from other species based on the host-specific occurrence on *Holcus lanatus* and *H. mollis*. Furthermore, *U. salweyi* differs in three diagnostic bases from all other species within the *striiformis* species complex included in this study – in the *rpl*4A gene there is a T instead of a C at position 85, in *rpl*3 there is a T instead of a C at position 133, and in the ITS region there is an A instead of a G at positions 103 (Table 2, Fig. 6).

The original host was misidentified as *Dactylis glomerata*, but this was found to be incorrect and actually *Holcus lanatus* by the leading grass specialist C.E. Hubbard (in Stevenson 1946). David Hawksworth also studied the type materials in K-M and concurs. Hosts in their vegetative stage can be Ustilago scaura Liro s. lat. , Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., ser. A, **17**(1): 73 (1924).

Replaced name: Tilletia avenae Ule, Verh. Bot. Vereins Prov. Brandenburg **25**: 214 (1884).

Type: **Germany**: *Bavaria*: Coburg, Fortress, on *Avena pratensis* (i.e. *Helictotrichon pratense*), June 1879, *E. Ule* (s. n. – lost); *Hesse*: county Tann/Rhön, at Galgenmount, on *Avena pubescens* [now, *Helictotrichon pubescens*], 16 Sept. 1990, *H. Scholz* (B 70 0014830 – *neotype designated here*, MBT 380637).

Non Ustilago avenae (Pers.) Rostrup, Overs. K. danske Vidensk. Selsk. Forh. Medlemmers Arbeider: 13 (1890).

Confirmed host: Helictotrichon pubescens, H. pratense?

Confirmed distribution: Germany.

Notes: Within the *U. striiformis* species complex, *U. scaura s. lat.* can be distinguished from other species based on the host-specific occurrence on *Helictotrichon pratense and H. pubescens*. Furthermore, *U. scaura s. lat.* differs in one diagnostic base from all other species within the *U. salweyi* species complex included in this study, except *U. denotarisii* on *Arrhenatherum* spp., in having a T instead of a C at position 628 in the ITS region, and from *U. denotarisii* on *Arrhenatherum elatius* in having a 13 nucleotide deletion at positions 222-241 in the ITS alignment (Table2, Fig. 6).

Since the type has been lost, we designate a neotype for *Ustilago scaura* with material on the closely related *H. pubescens*.

Ustilago scrobiculata Liro, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., ser. A 17(1): 68 (1924).

Type: **Finland**: *Nyland*: Pornainen, Kirveskoski, on *Calamagrostis arundinacea*, 9 Aug. 1916, *T. Putkonen & J. I. Liro* (H – lectotype, designated by Lindeberg, *Symb. Bot. Upsal.* **16** (2): 130 (1959).

Synonym: ? Ustilago deyeuxiae L. Guo, Mycosystema 6: 51 (1993).

Reported hosts: Calamagrostis spp. (see Vánky 2012: 1265).

Reported distribution: Asia and Europe.

Notes: This species shares one sequence motif with other coarsely ornamented stripe smuts (AACCCAAC at positions 20-27), which distinguishes it from species of the *Ustilago striiformis* species complex, and many additional single SNPs. Within the *U. serpens* species complex, *U. scrobiculata* differs in 21 diagnostic bases from other species (Table 2, Fig. 6). It seems possible that *U. deyeuxiae* has not been sampled

on *Calamagrostis arundinacea*, as the host of *U. deyeuxiae* is given as "*Deyeuxia arundinacea*" by Guo (1993), which is often seen as a synonym of *D. pyramidalis* in Asian literature (e.g. Shenglian *et al.* 2006). Thus, it seems possible that the species needs to be reconsidered as independent from *U. scrobiculata* once sequence data from the type specimen become available.

Ustilago serpens (P. Karst.) B. Lindeb., *Symb. Bot. Upsal.* **16**(2): 133 (1959).

Basionym: Tilletia serpens P. Karst., Fungi Fenn. Exs., fasc. 6 : no. 599 (1866).

Type: **Finland**: Merimasku, on "*Dactylis glomerata*" [redetermined as *Elymus repens* by Lindeberg, *Symb. Bot. Upsal.* **16**(2): 133, 1959], July 1862, *P. Karsten* [Fungi Fenn. Exs no. 599] (HUV 10432 – *lectotype designated here;* MBT 380638 from one of the several duplicate collections treated as "lectotype" by Lindeberg, *Symb. Bot. Upsal.* **16**(2): 133, 1959).

Confirmed host: Elymus repens.

Confirmed distribution: Finland and Germany.

Notes: The spores are small to medium sized, (11.5-) 13.0– (av. 13.5)–14.5 (–15.5) × (10.5–) 11.5 (av. 12.5)–13.0 (–14.0) µm, with a length/breadth ratio of 1.00–(av. 1.09)–1.23 and with coarsely verrucose ornamentation. This species shares one sequence motif with other coarsely ornamented stripe smuts (AACCCAAC at position 20-27), which distinguishes it from species of the *U. striiformis* species complex and many additional SNPs. Within the *U. serpens*-complex, *U. serpens* can be distinguished from other species based on four diagnostic bases: in the *myosin* gene there is an A instead of G at position 93, in *rpl4*A gene there is a T instead of a C at position 232 and in the ITS locus there is a C instead of a T at position 260, and a G instead of an A at position 629 (Table 2, Fig. 6).

Vánky (2012) lists several additional hosts for *U. serpens*. Due to the narrow specialization of stripe-smut revealed in this study, however, it seems likely that these harbour several distinct species. Until sequence data become available for these host-pathogen combinations, *Ustilago* on these other hosts is probably best referred to as *U. serpens s. lat.*

DISCUSSION

In this study, the closely related species of the *Ustilago striiformis*-complex and some other leaf stripe *Ustilago* smuts were investigated using multigene phylogenetic reconstructions to clarify their relationships. In total, 62 specimens of the *U. striiformis* species complex (incl. *U. calamagrostidis*) and four other leaf stripe smuts (*U. echinata, U. filiformis, U. scrobiculata,* and *U. serpens s. lat.*) were studied.

Phylogenetic analyses provided strong support for the polyphyly of the leaf-stripe smuts within *Ustilago*. However, the multilocus-based phylogenetic trees support the monophyly of the *U. striiformis* species complex, in contrast to the analysis

by Savchenko et al. (2014a), where it was concluded that the U. striiformis group was polyphyletic and the segregation of two species was necessary to render it monophyletic. That interpretation was mainly based on a combined LSU-ITS tree of U. striiformis species, where U. bromina and U. nunavutica were located outside the U. striiformis s. lat. clade. Because of this conflicting result, the ITS region of the type specimen of U. bromina was sequenced (Table 1) and compared with the deposited GenBank sequences of Savchenko et al. (2014a). The type specimen of U. bromina on Bromus inermis had an ITS sequence nearly identical (except for a base exchange in a poly A/T region) with the other specimens identified as this species in the current study. It differed in nine bases compared to the three sequences labelled as U. bromina in Savchenko et al. (2014a). It is conceivable that these specimens belong to another undescribed smut species (the three sequences were obtained from material from Israel and USA, while the type collection was from Germany), or the quality of the sequences was not optimal; almost all differences in the sequences from Savchenko et al. (2014a) in comparison to the sequences from this study were located behind a poly A/T site, which necessitated re-sequencing for several of the specimens used in this study. Furthermore, misidentification of the host plant seems also possible, as no records were found for the occurrence of Bromus inermis in the floras of Israel (http://flora.org.il/en/plants/) or Palestine (Feinbrun-Dothan 1986).

Ustilago nunavutica was the second species that led Savchenko et al. (2014a) to assume that the U. striiformis species complex was polyphyletic. Comparing the ITS and LSU sequences of U. nunavutica with sequences from the current study, the LSU sequence used by Savchenko et al. (2014a) showed several SNPs (data not shown), while all other U. striiformis samples investigated in this study were identical in the LSU region. In contrast, the ITS sequence of U. nunavutica has only few SNPs in comparison to other members of the U. striiformis species complex and is identical with U. neocopinata. It seems possible that the LSU sequence of U. nunavutica either was of bad guality or shows the amplification of a contaminant smut fungus. However, as the genera Puccinellia and Dactylis are not closely related (Schneider et al. 2009) and very high host specificity has been revealed for the closely related species of the U. striiformis species complex in this study, it is unlikely that U. neocopinata and U. nunavutica are conspecific.

In agreement with Stoll *et al.* (2005) and Spooner & Legon (2006), we found that *U. calamagrostidis* and *U. corcontica* belonged to the *U. striiformis* species complex. However, further resolution within the *U. striiformis* species complex was only achieved when the protein-coding loci introduced by Kruse *et al.* (2017b) were employed. The trees revealed a host genus or host species specific occurrence for almost all lineages within the *U. striiformis* species, supported by the observations of Liro (1924). All specimens from a single host species formed a clade according to the host species (or the host genus, in case of *Holcus*), with the exception of the rather closely related species *Lolium perenne* and *Festuca arundinacea* (Malik & Thomas 1966, Catalán *et al.* 2004, Hand *et al.* 2010). As most of these clades received high to

maximum support, they should be considered to represent distinct species, which can be distinguished based on the host and diagnostic SNPs (Fig. 6). For most of the 14 lineages of the U. striiformis species complex validly published names are available, necessitating the description of only two new species in this complex, U. neocopinata on Dactylis glomerata and U. jagei on Agrostis stolonifera s. lat. Vánky (2012) and Savchenko et al. (2014a) mentioned that different species on different hosts within this complex vary remarkably in spore shape, size, and ornamentation. However, morphological variation was observed to be high even within the same host species in the current study and also by Vánky (2012). Thus it is difficult to distinguish these closely related species based on morphology, necessitating the consideration of hosts and SNPs for diagnosis. The host range of at least two species of Ustilago parasitic to Agrostis could not be inferred with certainty, as both ITS and chloroplast loci did not resolve closely related species in the A. stolonifera and A. gigantea clusters (Amundsen & Warnke 2012).

While investigating synonymies of the *U. striiformis* species complex, it was found that the name *U. salweyi* is the correct name for the stripe smut on *Holcus lanatus*. Stevenson (1946) flagged *U. salweyi* as a "nomen ambiguum", although no action was taken to formally reject the name. Following the ICN (McNeill *et al.* 2012), the name *U. salweyi* has priority over *Uredo striiformis* as it was published two years earlier (Berkeley & Broome 1850: 463). Although the group generally referred to as the *U. striiformis*-group does not contain a species with that as the correct name, as it is still included as a synonym we feel that it is best to continue to use "*U. striiformis*-group" or "species complex" for these fungi as it is so well established and recalls the symptoms all species of the complex exhibit, although this feature is shared by some leaf-stripe smuts not belonging to this complex.

The species within the *U. striiformis* species complex have sometimes been recognised as special forms based on infection trials (Liro 1924, Davis 1930, 1935, Fischer 1940). However, it has been shown for various biotrophic pathogens that the special form concept, in which there is a population continuum with somewhat specialised forms, cannot be upheld (Göker *et al.* 2004, Lutz *et al.* 2005, Kemler *et al.* 2009, Thines *et al.* 2009, Ploch *et al.* 2011, Savchenko *et al.* 2014b, Choi & Thines 2015).

Similar to the situation in the *U. striiformis* species complex, *Ustilago serpens s. lat.* on different hosts clustered in phylogenetically distinct subgroups. As the type host for *U. serpens* is *Elymus repens*, the collections from *Bromus inermis* warrants recognition as a new species. *Ustilago serpens* is another example illustrating the narrow host specialization among smut fungi. As for both the coarsely ornamented stripe-smuts (*U. serpens* clade) and the finely ornamented stripe smuts (*U. striiformis* clade) only a subset of the known hosts could be included in the current study. It is therefore conceivable that some older names published for specific host-pathogen combinations in these groups warrant recognition and several new species await discovery.

With respect to the global phylogeny of *Ustilago* it is noteworthy that even based on nine loci the backbone of the phylogenetic tree was only poorly resolved. Conflicting supported topologies were inferred with respect to the phylogenetic position of *U. maydis* in the reconstructions based on three (sister to a clade comprising, among others, the *U. nuda* and the *U. salweyi* clade) and nine loci (sister to a clade comprising the majority of smuts on panicoid grasses).

This highlights the high degree of uncertainty that there still is with respect to the global phylogeny of *Ustilago s. lat.* (Thines 2016). Considering the diversity of anatomical characteristics and disease syndromes caused, many of which have arisen several times independently (such as the stripe-smut habit; McTaggart *et al.* 2012a, b, c), any splitting of *Ustilago s. lat.* into smaller genera as suggested by McTaggart *et al.* (2012a, 2016) is probably premature and might become obsolete due to the high degree of parallel evolution and associated homoplasy.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was funded by the LOEWE research funding programme in the framework of the Cluster for Integrative Fungal Research (IPF). We are grateful to the curators of B (Berlin), GLM (Görlitz), M (Munich), and TUB (Tübingen) for allowing the investigation of specimens in their keeping, and David Hawksworth for important information on Ustilago salweyi types in K-M (Kew fungarium) and nomenclatural corrections. We furthermore want to thank the curators of B (Berlin), HBG (Hamburg) and L (Leiden) for searching for the type collection of Ustilago scaura. We thank the private collectors Horst Jage and Volker Kummer for allowing us to include some of their collections in this study. We want to thank Bagdevi Mishra for the opportunity to use TrEase (thines-lab@senckenberg.de/trease) for phylogenetic analysis. Furthermore, we are grateful to Y.-J. Choi for help with the primer design for an internal ITS primer and to Reuel Bennett for proof-reading an initial draft of the manuscript. MT and JK conceived the study; JK made collection trips; FK, HJ, HR, HZ, UR, WD, and VK provided material; JK performed laboratory experiments and microscopy, and also analysed the data; JK and MT interpreted the data and prepared the manuscript with contributions from the other authors.

REFERENCES

- Amundsen K, Warnke S (2012) *Agrostis* species relationships based on trnL-trnF and atpl-atpH Intergenic Spacer Regions. *Hortscience* **47**: 18–24.
- Begerow D, Stoll M, Bauer R (2006) A phylogenetic hypothesis of *Ustilaginomycotina* based on multiple gene analyses and morphological data. *Mycologia* **98**: 906–916.
- Berkeley MJ, Broome CE (1850) Notices of British fungi. Annals and Magazine of Natural History 5: 455–467.
- Catalán P, Torrecilla P, Ángel J, Rodríguez L, Olmstead RG (2004) Phylogeny of the festucoid grasses of subtribe *Loliinae* and allies (*Poeae, Pooideae*) inferred from ITS and trnL–F sequences. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **31**: 517–541.
- Choi Y-J, Thines M (2015) Host jumps and radiation, not codivergence drives diversification of obligate pathogens: a case study in downy mildews and Asteraceae. PLoS ONE 10(7): e0133655.
- Davis WH (1930) Two physiologic forms of Ustilago striaeformis (Westd.) Niessl. Phytopathology 20: 65–74.

- Davis WH (1935) Summary of investigations with Ustilago striaeformis parasitizing some common grasses. Phytopathology 25: 810–817.
- Denchev C, Giraud T, Hood ME (2009) Three new species of anthericolous smut fungi on *Caryophyllaceae*. *Mycologia Balcanica* **6**: 79–84.
- Feinbrun-Dothan N (1986) *Flora Palaestina*. Vol. 4. Jerusalem: Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities, Section of Sciences.
- Fischer GW (1940) Fundamental studies on the stripe smut of grasses (*Ustilago striaeformis*) in the Pacific Northwest. *Phytopathology* **30**: 93–118.
- Fischer GW (1953) *Manual of the North American Smut Fungi*. New York: Ronald Press.
- Göker M, Riethmüller A, Voglmayr H, Weiss M, Oberwinkler F (2004) Phylogeny of *Hyaloperonospora* based on nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer sequences. *Mycological Progress* **3**: 83–94.
- Guo L (1993) Ustilago deyeuxiae sp. nov. and three smut species new to China. Mycosystema 6: 51–55.
- Hand ML, Cogan NOC, Stewart AV, Forster JW (2010) Evolutionary history of tall fescue morphotypes inferred from molecular phylogenetics of the *Lolium-Festuca* species complex. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **10**: 303.
- Heller A, Thines M (2009) Evidence for the importance of enzymatic digestion of epidermal walls during subepidermal sporulation and pustule opening in white blister rusts (*Albuginaceae*). *Mycological Research* **113**: 657–667.
- Katoh K, Standley DM (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **30**: 772–780.
- Kemler M, Lutz M, Göker M, Oberwinkler F, Begerow D (2009) Hidden diversity in the non-caryophyllaceous plant-parasitic members of *Microbotryum (Pucciniomycotina: Microbotryales)*. Systematics and Biodiversity 7: 297–306.
- Kruse J, Choi Y-J, Thines M (2017a) New smut-specific primers for the ITS barcoding of Ustilaginomycotina. Mycological Progress 16: 213–221.
- Kruse J, Mishra B, Choi Y-J, Sharma R, Thines M (2017b) New smutspecific primers for multilocus genotyping and phylogenetics of Ustilaginaceae. Mycological Progress 16: 1-9.
- Liro JI (1924) Die Ustilagineen Finnlands. Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae, series A **17**(1): 1–636.
- Lutz M, Göker M, Piątek M, Kemler M, Begerow D, Oberwinkler F (2005) Anther smuts of *Caryophyllaceae*: molecular characters indicate host-dependent species delimitation. *Mycological Progress* **4**: 225–238.
- Malik CP, Thomas PT (1966) Karyotypic studies in some *Lolium* and *Festuca* species. *Caryologia* **19**: 167–196.
- McNeill J, Barrie FR, Buck WR, Demoulin V, Greuter W, et al. (2012) International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (Melbourne Code) adopted by the Eighteenth International Botanical Congress Melbourne, Australia, July 2011. [Regnum Vegetabile No. 154.] Königstein: Koeltz Scientific Books.
- McTaggart AR, Shivas RG, Geering AD, Callaghan B, Vánky K, Scharaschkin T (2012a) Soral synapomorphies are significant for the systematics of the Ustilago-Sporisorium–Macalpinomyces complex (Ustilaginaceae). Persoonia 29: 63–77.
- McTaggart AR, Shivas RG, Geering ADW, Vánky K, Scharaschkin T (2012b) A review of the Ustilago–Sporisorium–Macalpinomyces complex. Persoonia 29: 55–62.

- McTaggart AR, Shivas RG, Geering ADW, Vánky K, Scharaschkin T (2012c) Taxonomic revision of Ustilago, Sporisorium and Macalpinomyces. Persoonia 29: 116–132.
- McTaggart AR, Shivas RG, Boekhout T, Oberwinkler F, Vánky K, et al. (2016) Mycosarcoma (Ustilaginaceae), a resurrected generic name for corn smut (Ustilago maydis) and its close relatives with hypertrophied, tubular sori. IMA Fungus 7: 309–315.
- O'Donnell K (1993) *Fusarium* and its near relatives. In: *The Fungal Holomorph: mitotic, meiotic and pleomorphic speciation in fungal systematics* (Reynolds DR, Taylor JW, eds.): 225-233. Wallingford: CAB International.
- Piątek M, Lutz M, Chater AO (2013) Cryptic diversity in the *Antherospora vaillantii* complex on Muscari species. *IMA Fungus* **4**: 5–19.
- Ploch S, Telle S, Choi Y-J, Cunnington J, Priest M, *et al.* (2011) The molecular phylogeny of the white blister rust genus *Pustula* reveals a case of underestimated biodiversity with several undescribed species on ornamentals and crop plants. *Fungal Biology* **115**: 214–219.
- Ridgway KP, Duck JM, Young JPW (2003) Identification of roots from grass swards using PCR-RFLP and FFLP of the plastid *trn*L (UAA) intron. *BMC Ecology* **3**(8): 1–6.
- Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP (2003) MRBAYES 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics* **19**: 1572–1574.
- Savchenko, KG, Carris LM, Castlebury LA, Heluta VP, Wasser SP, Nevo E (2014a) Stripe smuts of grasses: one lineage or high levels of polyphyly? *Persoonia* 33: 169–181.
- Savchenko KG, Carris LM, Castlebury LA, Heluta VP, Wasser SP, Nevo E (2014b) Revision of *Entyloma (Entylomatales, Exobasidiomycetes)* on *Eryngium. Mycologia* **106**: 797–810.
- Schneider J, Döring E, Hilu KW, Röser M (2009) Phylogenetic structure of the grass subfamily *Pooideae* based on comparison of plastid *matK* gene–3'*trnK* exon and nuclear ITS sequences. *Taxon* **58**: 405–424.
- Shenglian L, Wenli C, Phillips SM (2006) 87. Deyeuxia Clarion ex P. Beauvois, Ess. Agrostogr. 43. 1812. In: Flora of China (Zhengyi W, Raven PH, Deyuan H, eds) 22: 348-359. Beijing: Science Press.
- Spooner BM, Legon NW (2006) Additions and amendments to the list of British smut fungi. *Mycologist* **20**: 90–96.
- Stamatakis A (2014) RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 1312–1313.
- Stevenson JA (1946) A nomenclatorial discussion of Ustilago striiformis. Plant Disease Reporter **30**: 53–59.
- Stoll M, Begerow D, Oberwinkler F (2005) Molecular phylogeny of Ustilago, Sporisorium, and related taxa based on combined analyses of rDNA sequences. Mycological Research 109: 342– 356.
- Stoll M, Piepenbring M, Begerow D, Oberwinkler F (2003) Molecular phylogeny of Ustilago and Sporisorium species (Basidiomycota, Ustilaginales) based on internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequences. Canadian Journal of Botany 81: 976–984.
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S (2013) MEGA6: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 6.0. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30: 2725–2729.
- Thines M (2016) Proposal to conserve the name Ustilago (Basidiomycota) with a conserved type. Taxon 65: 1170–1171.
- Thines M, Choi Y-J, Kemen E, Ploch S, Holub EB, Shin H-D, Jones JDG (2009) A new species of *Albugo* parasitic to *Arabidopsis*

thaliana reveals new evolutionary patterns in white blister rusts (*Albuginaceae*). *Persoonia* **22**: 123–128.

- Vánky K (2012) *Smut Fungi of the World*. St Paul, MN: American Phytopathological Society Press.
- Vánky K (2007) Taxonomic studies on Ustilaginomycetes 27. Mycotaxon 99: 1–70.
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA sequences for phylogenetics. In: *PCR Protocols: a guide to methods and applications* (Innis N, Gelfand D, Sninsky J, White T, eds): 315-322. San Diego: Academic Press.